

Torus embeddings and algebraic intersection complexes

Masa-Nori ISHIDA*

Mathematical Institute, Faculty of Science

Tohoku University, Sendai 980, Japan

February 5, 2008

Introduction

In [GM2], Goreskey and MacPherson defined and constructed intersection complexes for topological pseudomanifolds. The complexes are defined in the derived category of sheaves of modules over a constant ring sheaf. Since analytic spaces are of this category, any algebraic variety defined over $\mathbf{C}$ has an intersection complex for each perversity.

The purpose of this paper is to give an algebraic description of the intersection complex of a toric variety. Namely, we describe it as a finite complex of coherent sheaves whose coboundary map is a differential operator of order one.

Let Z_h be the complete toric variety associated to a complete fan Δ . For each $\sigma \in \Delta$, let $X(\sigma)_h$ be the associated closed subvariety of Z_h . For each perversity $\mathbf{p}$,

*Supported in part by a Grant under The Monbusho International Scientific Research Program:
04044081

we construct a bicomplex $(\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(Z_{\mathrm{h}})^{\bullet,\bullet}, d_1, d_2)$ with the following properties.

- (1) $\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(Z_{\mathrm{h}})^{i,j} = \{0\}$ for $(i, j) \notin [0, r] \times [-r, 0]$.
- (2) Each $\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(Z_{\mathrm{h}})^{i,j}$ is a direct sum for $\sigma \in \Delta$ of free $\mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)_{\mathrm{h}}}$ -modules of finite rank.
- (3) d_1 is an $\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathrm{h}}}$ -homomorphism and d_2 is a differential operator of order one.
- (4) The associated single complex $\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(Z_{\mathrm{h}})^{\bullet}$ is quasi-isomorphic to the r -times dimension shifts to the right of the intersection complex defined in [GM2]. In other words, our complex belongs to “Beilinson-Bernstein-Deligne-Gabber scheme” (cf. [GM2, 2.3,(d)] and [BBD, 2.1]).

In §1, we introduce an abelian category $\mathrm{GM}(A(\sigma))$ of finitely generated graded $A(\sigma)$ -modules, where $A(\sigma)$ is the exterior algebra of the $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space $N(\sigma)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ defined by a cone σ .

In §2, we define an additive category $\mathrm{GEM}(\Delta)$ for a finite fan Δ . Each object L of this category is a collection $(L(\sigma) ; \sigma \in \Delta)$ of $L(\sigma) \in \mathrm{GM}(A(\sigma))$. We define a dualizing functor $\mathbf{D}$ on the category $\mathrm{CGEM}(\Delta)$ of finite complexes in $\mathrm{GEM}(\Delta)$.

A perversity $\mathbf{p}$ on Δ is defined to be a $\mathbf{Z}$ -valued map on $\Delta \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. The intersection complex $\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)^{\bullet}$ as an object of $\mathrm{CGEM}(\Delta)$ is defined and constructed in §2.

In §3, we work on the toric variety $Z(\Delta)$ associated to the fan Δ . For each $L^{\bullet} \in \mathrm{CGEM}(\Delta)$, we define a finite bicomplex $\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}(L)^{\bullet,\bullet}$ of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Z(\Delta)}$ -modules whose second coboundary map is a differential operator of order one.

We consider the normal analytic space $Z_{\mathrm{h}} := Z(\Delta)_{\mathrm{h}}$ associated to the toric variety $Z(\Delta)$ in §4. The bicomplex $\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(Z_{\mathrm{h}})^{\bullet,\bullet}$ stated above is defined to be the bicomplex $\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)_{\mathrm{h}}}(\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))^{\bullet,\bullet}$ on this analytic space.

When Δ is a complete fan, we show that the intersection cohomologies are described in terms of the complex $\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)^{\bullet}$ in $\mathrm{CGEM}(\Delta)$.

The middle perversity $\mathbf{m}$ is defined by $\mathbf{m}(\sigma) := 0$ for all $\sigma \in \Delta \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. In [I3], we will discuss on $\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{m}}(\Delta)^{\bullet}$ and prove the decomposition theorem for a barycentric

subdivision of the fan.

Notation

We denote by $\mathbf{Z}$ the ring of rational integers and by $\mathbf{Q}$, $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ the fields of rational numbers, real numbers and complex numbers, respectively.

For a free $\mathbf{Z}$ -module F of finite rank, we denote $F_{\mathbf{Q}} := F \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ and $F_{\mathbf{R}} := F \otimes \mathbf{R}$.

We denote a complex $E^\bullet$ of modules or of sheaves of modules simply by E when the substitution of the dot by an integer is not suitable. In particular, we prefer to write $G(E)^\bullet$ rather than $G(E^\bullet)$, if G is a functor between categories of complexes. However, we left the dot in representing the cohomologies $H^i(E^\bullet)$.

For a complex $(E^\bullet, d_E)$ and a integer n , the complex $(E[n]^\bullet, d_{E[n]})$ is defined by $E[n]^i := E^{i+n}$ and $d_{E[n]}^i := (-1)^n d_E^{i+n}$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}$.

By a bicomplex $(E^{\bullet,\bullet}, d_1, d_2)$, we mean a naive double complex [D2, 0.4], i.e., a double complex satisfying $d_1 \cdot d_2 = d_2 \cdot d_1$. The associated single complex $(E^\bullet, d)$ is defined by $E^k := \bigoplus_{i+j=k} E^{i,j}$ for every $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $d(x) := d_1^{i,j}(x) + (-1)^i d_2^{i,j}(x)$ for $(i, j) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$ and $x \in E^{i,j}$. In general, we follow [D2] for the sign configuration of complexes.

By a d -complex and a ∂ -complex, we mean complexes in an additive category whose coboundary maps are denoted by d and ∂ , respectively. Some important bicomplexes in this paper has $d_1 = d$ and $d_2 = \partial$.

1 The exterior algebras and modules

Let r be a non-negative integer, and let N and M be mutually dual free $\mathbf{Z}$ -modules of rank r with the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : M \times N \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$. This pairing is extended $\mathbf{R}$ -bilinearly to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : M_{\mathbf{R}} \times N_{\mathbf{R}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$.

By a *cone* in $N_{\mathbf{R}}$, we mean a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone [O1,

Chap.1,1.1], i.e., a cone σ is equal to $\mathbf{R}_0 n_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{R}_0 n_s$ for a finite subset $\{n_1, \dots, n_s\}$ of N and satisfies $\sigma \cap (-\sigma) = \{0\}$, where $\mathbf{R}_0 := \{c \in \mathbf{R} ; c \geq 0\}$. The cone $\{0\}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{0}$. For each cone σ we set $r_\sigma := \dim \sigma$.

For a cone σ in $N_{\mathbf{R}}$, we define $N(\sigma) := N \cap (\sigma + (-\sigma)) \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{r_\sigma}$ and $N[\sigma] := N/N(\sigma) \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{r-r_\sigma}$. Hence, $N(\sigma)_{\mathbf{R}}$ is the real subspace $\sigma + (-\sigma)$ of $N_{\mathbf{R}}$. On the other hand, we set $\sigma^\perp := \{x \in M_{\mathbf{R}} ; \langle x, a \rangle = 0, \forall a \in \sigma\}$, $M[\sigma] := M \cap \sigma^\perp$ and $M(\sigma) := M/M[\sigma]$. Then $N(\sigma)_{\mathbf{R}} \subset N_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $M[\sigma]_{\mathbf{R}} \subset M_{\mathbf{R}}$ are orthogonal complement of each other with respect to the pairing. These notations are defined so that $N(\sigma)$ and $M(\sigma)$ as well as $N[\sigma]$ and $M[\sigma]$ are mutually dual, respectively.

In this article, we often treat finite dimensional graded $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector spaces. Let V be such a $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space. Then, we denote by V_j the vector subspace consisting of zero and the homogeneous elements of degree j for each $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. For two finite dimensional $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector spaces V and W , we define the grading of $V \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} W$ by

$$(V \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} W)_k := \bigoplus_{i+j=k} V_i \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} W_j \quad (1.1)$$

for each $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. We identify $V \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} W$ with $W \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} V$ by the identifications

$$a_i \otimes b_j = (-1)^{ij} b_j \otimes a_i \quad (1.2)$$

for $a_i \in V_i, b_j \in W_j$ for all $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$.

We denote by $A(M_{\mathbf{Q}})$ the exterior algebra $\bigwedge^\bullet M_{\mathbf{Q}}$ over the rational number field $\mathbf{Q}$. Then $A(M_{\mathbf{Q}})$ is a graded $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra with $A(M_{\mathbf{Q}})_i := \bigwedge^i M_{\mathbf{Q}}$ for each $i \in \mathbf{Z}$.

The algebra $A(N_{\mathbf{Q}}) := \bigwedge^\bullet N_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is more important in our theory. The grading of $A(N_{\mathbf{Q}})$ is defined negatively, i.e., $A(N_{\mathbf{Q}})_i := \bigwedge^{-i} N_{\mathbf{Q}}$ for each i . For a cone σ in $N_{\mathbf{R}}$, $A(N(\sigma)_{\mathbf{Q}}) := \bigwedge^\bullet N(\sigma)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a graded subalgebra of $A(N_{\mathbf{Q}})$.

In order to simplify the notation, we set $A := A(N_{\mathbf{Q}})$ and $A^* := A(M_{\mathbf{Q}})$. For a cone σ in $N_{\mathbf{R}}$, we set $A(\sigma) := A(N(\sigma)_{\mathbf{Q}}) \subset A$ and $A^*[\sigma] := A(M[\sigma]_{\mathbf{Q}}) \subset A^*$.

Let $C = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbf{Z}} C_p$ be a graded $\mathbf{Q}$ -subalgebra of A or A^* . For a graded left C -module $V = \bigoplus_{q \in \mathbf{Z}} V_q$, we define the *associated* graded right C -module structure on

V by

$$xa := \sum_{q \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^{pq} ax_q \quad (1.3)$$

for $a \in C_p$ and $x = \sum_{q \in \mathbf{Z}} x_q \in V$. Conversely, if V is a graded right C -module, then the associated graded left C -module structure is defined similarly. In both cases, we see easily that $a(xb) = (ax)b$ for $a, b \in C$ and $x \in V$. Hence V is a two-sided C -module and we call it simply a C -module. The following lemma is checked easily.

Lemma 1.1 *Let V, V' be graded C -modules for C as above. If W is a homogeneous left or right C -submodule of V , then it is a two-sided C -submodule of V . If $f : V \rightarrow V'$ is a homogeneous homomorphism as left or right C -modules of degree zero, then it is a homomorphism of two-sided C -modules.*

Let $\text{GM}(C)$ be the abelian category of finitely generated graded C -modules where the morphisms are defined to be homogeneous homomorphisms of degree zero. By definition, every object in $\text{GM}(C)$ is finite-dimensional as a $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space.

Since $M_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is the dual $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space of $N_{\mathbf{Q}}$, each homogeneous element $a \in A_p^*$ for any $p \in \mathbf{Z}$ induces a homogeneous $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear map $i(a) : A \rightarrow A$ (cf. [G, 5.14]) which is usually called the right interior product. Here note that the degree of the interior product $i(a)$ is p , since the indices of A are given negatively. It is known that this operation induces a graded right A^* -module structure on A (cf. [G, (5.50)]). With the associated left A^* -module structure, we regard A as a two-sided A^* -module.

Lemma 1.2 *Let σ be a cone in $N_{\mathbf{R}}$. Then the left operations of $A(\sigma)$ and $A^*[\sigma]$ on A commute with each other. This is also true for the right operations.*

Proof. For $a \in A^*[\sigma]_p$, $b \in A(\sigma)_q$ and $x \in A_s$, we prove the equalities

$$b(ax) = a(bx) , \quad (1.4)$$

$$(xa)b = (xb)a , \quad (1.5)$$

$$(bx)a = (-1)^{pq} b(xa) . \quad (1.6)$$

Since $N(\sigma)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is the orthogonal complement of $M[\sigma]_{\mathbf{Q}}$ and $(bx)a = i(a)(b \wedge x)$, the equality (1.6) is equal to [G, (5.58)]. By this equality, we have $b(ax) = (-1)^{ps}b(xa) = (-1)^{ps+pq}(bx)a = a(bx)$ and $(xa)b = (-1)^{pq+qs}b(xa) = (-1)^{qs}(bx)a = (xb)a$. These are the equalities (1.4) and (1.5). q.e.d.

Let σ be a cone in $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ and let V be a graded $A(\sigma)$ -module. Then the above lemma implies that $V_A := V \otimes_{A(\sigma)} A$ has a structure of $A^*[\sigma]$ -module such that $a(u \otimes x) = u \otimes ax$ for $u \in V$, $x \in A$ and $a \in A^*[\sigma]$.

Lemma 1.3 *Let V be a finitely generated graded $A(\sigma)$ -module with a homogeneous $\mathbf{Q}$ -basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_s\}$ and let $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ be a $\mathbf{Q}$ -basis of $N_{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that $N(\sigma)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is generated by $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ for $k := r_{\sigma}$. Then V_A is a free $A^*[\sigma]$ -module with the basis $\{u'_1, \dots, u'_s\}$, where $u'_i := u_i \otimes (x_{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_r)$ for each i .*

Proof. Let E be the subspace $\mathbf{Q}x_{k+1} + \dots + \mathbf{Q}x_r$ of $N_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Since the operation of $A^*[\sigma]$ on A is defined by the interior products, we have $A(E) = A^*[\sigma](x_{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_r)$ in A . Hence $A = A(\sigma) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A(E)$ is equal to $(A(\sigma) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A^*[\sigma])(x_{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_r)$. Hence

$$V_A = V \otimes_{A(\sigma)} A \simeq V \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A^*[\sigma](x_{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_r) \quad (1.7)$$

as $A^*[\sigma]$ -modules and we get the lemma. q.e.d.

Let σ be a cone of $N_{\mathbf{R}}$. We set

$$\det(\sigma) := \bigwedge^{r_{\sigma}} N(\sigma) \simeq \mathbf{Z} \quad (1.8)$$

and $\det(\sigma)_{\mathbf{Q}} := \det(\sigma) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. We denote by $\text{Det}(\sigma)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ the graded $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space defined by $(\text{Det}(\sigma)_{\mathbf{Q}})_{-r_{\sigma}} := \det(\sigma)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ and $(\text{Det}(\sigma)_{\mathbf{Q}})_j := \{0\}$ for $j \neq -r_{\sigma}$.

For a finitely generated graded $A(\sigma)$ -module V , we define a graded $A(\sigma)$ -module $D_{\sigma}(V)$ as follows.

We define graded $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector spaces $D_{\sigma}^{\text{left}}(V)$ and $D_{\sigma}^{\text{right}}(V)$ by

$$D_{\sigma}^{\text{left}}(V) = D_{\sigma}^{\text{right}}(V) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(V, \text{Det}(\sigma)_{\mathbf{Q}}) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(V_{-r_{\sigma}-i}, \det(\sigma)_{\mathbf{Q}}). \quad (1.9)$$

For $x \in V$ and $y \in D_\sigma^{\text{left}}(V)$ (resp. $z \in D_\sigma^{\text{right}}(V)$) we denote the operation by (y, x) (resp. (x, z)). The right $A(\sigma)$ -module structure of $D_\sigma^{\text{left}}(V)$ (resp. the left $A(\sigma)$ -module structure of $D_\sigma^{\text{right}}(V)$) is defined by

$$(ya, x) := (y, ax) \quad (\text{resp. } (x, az) := (xa, z)) \quad (1.10)$$

for $a \in A(\sigma)$. There exists a unique homogeneous isomorphism $\varphi : D_\sigma^{\text{left}}(V) \rightarrow D_\sigma^{\text{right}}(V)$ degree zero such that $(y, x) = (-1)^{pq}(x, \varphi(y))$ for homogeneous elements $x \in V_p$ and $y \in D_\sigma^{\text{left}}(V)_q$ for all $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$. We define $D_\sigma(V)$ to be the identification of $D_\sigma^{\text{left}}(V)$ and $D_\sigma^{\text{right}}(V)$ by the isomorphism φ . Namely, we have $(y, x) = (-1)^{pq}(x, y)$ for $x \in V_p$ and $y \in D_\sigma(V)_q$. Here note that $(y, x) = 0$ if $p + q \neq -r_\sigma$. It is easy to see that the induced left and right $A(\sigma)$ -module structures on $D_\sigma(V)$ have the compatibility (1.3). By definition, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} D_\sigma(V)_j = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} V_{-r_\sigma-j} \quad (1.11)$$

for every $j \in \mathbf{Z}$.

For $V \in \text{GM}(A(\sigma))$, we define an $A(\sigma)$ -homomorphism $\iota : V \rightarrow D_\sigma(D_\sigma(V))$ by $(y, \iota(x)) := (y, x)$ for $x \in V$ and $y \in D_\sigma(V)$. It is easy to see that the symmetric equality $(\iota(x), y) = (x, y)$ holds. Since V is a finite dimensional $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space, the pairings are perfect and ι is an isomorphism. We call ι the *canoniacal isomorphism*.

For a homomorphism $f : V \rightarrow W$ in $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$, we define $D_\sigma(f)$ to be the natural induced homomorphism $D_\sigma(W) \rightarrow D_\sigma(V)$ of $A(\sigma)$ -modules, i.e., the equality $(y, f(x)) = (D_\sigma(f)(y), x)$ for $x \in V$ and $y \in D_\sigma(W)$. It is clear by definition that the correspondence $V \mapsto D_\sigma(V)$ is a contravariant exact functor from $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$ to itself.

If π is of dimension r , then $A(\pi) = A$ and D_π is a functor from $\text{GM}(A)$ to itself. We denote this functor by D_N which does not depend on the choice of π .

Let σ and ρ be cones in $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ with $\sigma \prec \rho$.

For V in $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$, we denote by $V_{A(\rho)}$ the graded $A(\rho)$ -module $V \otimes_{A(\sigma)} A(\rho) = A(\rho) \otimes_{A(\sigma)} V$, where we identify $x \otimes a$ with $(-1)^{pq} a \otimes x$ for $x \in V_p$ and $a \in A(\rho)_q$. For a morphism $f : V \rightarrow V'$ in $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$, we denote $f_{A(\rho)} := f \otimes 1_{A(\rho)} : V_{A(\rho)} \rightarrow V'_{A(\rho)}$. The correspondence $V \mapsto V_{A(\rho)}$ is a covariant functor from $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$ to $\text{GM}(A(\rho))$. Let H be a linear subspace of $N(\rho)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that $N(\rho)_{\mathbf{Q}} = N(\sigma)_{\mathbf{Q}} \oplus H$. Then $A(\rho) = A(\sigma) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A(H)$ and $V_{A(\rho)} = V \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A(H)$ for any V in $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$. This implies that the functor is exact. Similarly, the functor from $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$ to $\text{GM}(A)$ defined by $V \mapsto V_A$ is exact.

For $V \in \text{GM}(A(\sigma))$, we define an $A(\sigma)$ -homomorphism

$$\varphi : D_{\sigma}(V) \rightarrow D_{\rho}(V_{A(\rho)}) \quad (1.12)$$

by $(\varphi(y), xa) := \phi_{\rho}((y, x)a)$ for $x \in V$, $y \in D_{\sigma}(V)$ and $a \in A(\rho)$, where ϕ_{ρ} is the homogeneous projection $A(\rho) \rightarrow A(\rho)_{-r_{\rho}} = \text{Det}(\rho)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Lemma 1.4 *Let σ, ρ be cones in $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ with $\sigma \prec \rho$. Then the homomorphism (1.12) induces $A(\rho)$ -isomorphism $D_{\rho}(V_{A(\rho)}) \simeq D_{\sigma}(V)_{A(\rho)}$ for every V in $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$.*

Proof. Consider the case $V = A(\sigma)$. Let $\phi_{\sigma} : A(\sigma) \rightarrow \text{Det}(\sigma)_{\mathbf{Q}} = A(\sigma)_{-r_{\sigma}}$ be the homogeneous projection. For $u \in A(\sigma)$, the corresponding element $\phi_{\sigma}u$ of $D_{\sigma}(A(\sigma))$ is given by $(\phi_{\sigma}u, x) := \phi_{\sigma}(u \wedge x)$ for $x \in A(\sigma)$. Hence $D_{\sigma}(A(\sigma))$ is a free $A(\sigma)$ -module generated by ϕ_{σ} . Similarly, $D_{\rho}(A(\rho))$ is equal to $\phi_{\rho}A(\rho)$.

By the definition of φ in (1.12), we have $\varphi(\phi_{\sigma}) = \phi_{\rho}$. Hence φ induces an isomorphism $D_{\sigma}(A(\sigma))_{A(\rho)} \simeq D_{\rho}(A(\rho))$.

For general V , we take an exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^m A(\sigma)x_i \xrightarrow{f} \bigoplus_{j=1}^n A(\sigma)y_j \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1.13)$$

of graded left $A(\sigma)$ -modules, where $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ are homogeneous bases. Then by the exactness of the functors, we get exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow D_{\sigma}(V)_{A(\rho)} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^n y_j^* A(\rho) \xrightarrow{^t f} \bigoplus_{i=1}^m x_i^* A(\rho) \quad (1.14)$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow D_\rho(V_{A(\rho)}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^n y_j^* A(\rho) \xrightarrow{t_f} \bigoplus_{i=1}^m x_i^* A(\rho) \quad (1.15)$$

of graded right $A(\rho)$ -modules. Hence we get the required isomorphism. *q.e.d.*

Let $V^\bullet$ be a finite d -complex of graded $A(\sigma)$ -modules in $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$ with $d = (d_V^i : i \in \mathbf{Z})$. We define the complex $D_\sigma(V)^\bullet$ by $D_\sigma(V)^i := D_\sigma(V^{-i})$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. The coboundary map $d = (d_{D_\sigma(V)}^i)$ is defined by

$$d_{D_\sigma(V)}^i := (-1)^{i+1} D_\sigma(d_V^{-i-1}) : D_\sigma(V)^i \longrightarrow D_\sigma(V)^{i+1} \quad (1.16)$$

for each $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ (cf. [D2, 1.1.5]). Note that we have the principle to put the dot sign of complexes at the right end.

Since D_σ is an exact functor, the cohomology group $H^p(D_\sigma(V)^\bullet)$ is isomorphic to $D_\sigma(H^{-p}(V^\bullet))$ as a graded $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space. By the equality (1.11), we get the following lemma.

Lemma 1.5 *Let $\sigma \subset N_{\mathbf{R}}$ be a cone and let $V^\bullet$ be a finite d -complex in $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} H^p(D_\sigma(V)^\bullet)_q = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} H^{-p}(V^\bullet)_{-r_\sigma - q} \quad (1.17)$$

for any integers p, q . In particular, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} H^p(D_N(V)^\bullet)_q = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} H^{-p}(V^\bullet)_{-r - q} \quad (1.18)$$

if $V^\bullet$ is a finite d -complex in $\text{GM}(A)$.

For a homomorphism $f : V^\bullet \rightarrow W^\bullet$ in $\text{CGM}(A(\sigma))$, the homomorphism

$$D_\sigma(f) : D_\sigma(W)^\bullet \rightarrow D_\sigma(V)^\bullet \quad (1.19)$$

is defined as the collection

$$\{D_\sigma(f)^i = D_\sigma(f^{-i}) ; i \in \mathbf{Z}\} . \quad (1.20)$$

For a homogeneous $\mathbf{Q}$ -subalgebra C of A , we denote by $\text{CGM}(C)$ the category of finite d -complexes in $\text{GM}(C)$. It is easy to see that D_σ is a contravariant exact functor of the abelian category $\text{CGM}(A(\sigma))$ to itself.

Let $V^\bullet$ be an object of $\text{CGM}(C)$. Hence each $V^i = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} V_j^i$ is in $\text{GM}(C)$. For each $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$, we denote by $d^{i,j} : V_j^i \rightarrow V_j^{i+1}$ the homogeneous component of d^i of degree j . For each integer k , the *gradual truncation* below $(\text{gt}_{\leq k} V)^\bullet$ is the homogeneous subcomplex of $V^\bullet$ defined by

$$(\text{gt}_{\leq k} V)_j^i := \begin{cases} V_j^i & \text{if } i + j < k \\ \text{Ker } d^{i,j} & \text{if } i + j = k \\ \{0\} & \text{if } i + j > k \end{cases} \quad (1.21)$$

and the gradual truncation above $(\text{gt}_{\geq k} V)^\bullet$ is the homogeneous quotient complex of $V^\bullet$ defined by

$$(\text{gt}_{\geq k} V)_j^i := \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } i + j < k \\ \text{Coker } d^{i-1,j} & \text{if } i + j = k \\ V_j^i & \text{if } i + j > k. \end{cases} \quad (1.22)$$

Since C is graded negatively, $(\text{gt}_{\leq k} V)^\bullet$ and $(\text{gt}_{\geq k} V)^\bullet$ are d -complexes in $\text{GM}(C)$. Hence, these are covariant functors from $\text{CGM}(C)$ to itself.

The variant gradual truncations $(\widetilde{\text{gt}}_{\leq k} V)^\bullet$ and $(\widetilde{\text{gt}}_{\geq k} V)^\bullet$ are defined by

$$(\widetilde{\text{gt}}_{\leq k} V)_j^i := \begin{cases} V_j^i & \text{if } i + j \leq k \\ \text{Im } d^{i-1,j} & \text{if } i + j = k + 1 \\ \{0\} & \text{if } i + j > k + 1 \end{cases} \quad (1.23)$$

and

$$(\widetilde{\text{gt}}_{\geq k} V)_j^i := \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } i + j < k - 1 \\ \text{Im } d^{i,j} & \text{if } i + j = k - 1 \\ V_j^i & \text{if } i + j \geq k, \end{cases} \quad (1.24)$$

respectively.

It is easy to see that $(\widetilde{\text{gt}}_{\leq k} V)^\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic to $(\text{gt}_{\leq k} V)^\bullet$, while $(\widetilde{\text{gt}}^{\geq k} V)^\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic to $(\text{gt}^{\geq k} V)^\bullet$ (cf.[GM2, p.93]).

It is clear that

$$H^p((\text{gt}_{\leq k} V)^\bullet)_q = \begin{cases} H^p(V^\bullet)_q & \text{for } p + q \leq k \\ \{0\} & \text{for } p + q > k \end{cases} \quad (1.25)$$

and

$$H^p((\text{gt}^{\geq k} V)^\bullet)_q = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{for } p + q < k \\ H^p(V^\bullet)_q & \text{for } p + q \geq k. \end{cases} \quad (1.26)$$

An object $V^\bullet$ in $\text{CGM}(C)$ is said to be *acyclic* if $H^p(V^\bullet) = \{0\}$ for all integers p .

Lemma 1.6 *Let σ be a cone of $N_{\mathbf{R}}$. Let $V^\bullet$ be in $\text{CGM}(A(\sigma))$ and k be an integer. Then the d -complex $(\text{gt}_{\leq k} D_\sigma(V))^\bullet$ is acyclic if and only if $(\text{gt}^{\geq -r_\sigma - k} V)^\bullet$ is. Similarly, the d -complex $(\text{gt}^{\geq k} D_\sigma(V))^\bullet$ is acyclic if and only if $(\text{gt}_{\leq -r_\sigma - k} V)^\bullet$ is.*

If $V^\bullet$ is in $\text{CGM}(A)$, then these assertions with D_σ replaced by D_N and r_σ replaced by r hold.

Proof. By (1.25), $(\text{gt}_{\leq k} D_\sigma(V))^\bullet$ is acyclic if and only if $H^p(D_\sigma(V)^\bullet)_q = \{0\}$ for $p + q \leq k$. By Lemma 1.5, this is equivalent to the condition

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} H^p(V^\bullet)_q = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} H^{-p}(D_\sigma(V)^\bullet)_{-r_\sigma - q} = 0 \quad (1.27)$$

for $p + q \geq -r_\sigma - k$. This condition means that $(\text{gt}^{\geq -r_\sigma - k} V)^\bullet$ is acyclic. The second assertion is similarly proved.

The last assertion is obtained by taking σ with $r_\sigma = r$. *q.e.d.*

2 The graded exterior modules on a fan

Let Δ be a finite fan of $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ [O1, 1.1]. We introduce an additive category $\text{GEM}(\Delta)$ which contains $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$ as full subcategories for all $\sigma \in \Delta$.

Let V be in $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$ and W in $\text{GM}(A(\rho))$. If $\sigma \prec \rho$, then $A(\sigma) \subset A(\rho)$ and W has an induced structure of graded $A(\sigma)$ -module.

A morphism $f : V \rightarrow W$ in $\text{GEM}(\Delta)$ is defined to be a homogeneous $A(\sigma)$ -homomorphism of degree zero. If σ is not a face of ρ , we allow only the zero map as a morphism even if $A(\sigma)$ happens to be contained in $A(\rho)$.

Consequently, the additive category $\text{GEM}(\Delta)$ of *graded exterior modules* on Δ is defined as follows.

A graded exterior module L on Δ is a collection $(L(\sigma) ; \sigma \in \Delta)$ of objects $L(\sigma)$ in $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$ for $\sigma \in \Delta$. A *homomorphism* $f : L \rightarrow K$ of graded exterior modules on Δ is a collection $f = (f(\sigma/\rho))$ of morphisms

$$f(\sigma/\rho) : L(\sigma) \longrightarrow K(\rho) \quad (2.1)$$

in $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$ for all pairs (σ, ρ) of cones in Δ with $\sigma \prec \rho$. For $f : L \rightarrow K$ and $g : K \rightarrow J$, the composite $(g \cdot f) : L \rightarrow J$ is defined by

$$(g \cdot f)(\sigma/\rho) := \sum_{\tau \in F[\sigma, \rho]} g(\tau/\rho) \cdot f(\sigma/\tau) \quad (2.2)$$

for σ, ρ with $\sigma \prec \rho$, where $F[\sigma, \rho]$ is the set of the faces τ of ρ with $\sigma \prec \tau$.

The direct sum of finite objects in $\text{GEM}(\Delta)$ is defined naturally. An object V of $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$ is also regarded as an object of $\text{GEM}(\Delta)$ by defining $V(\sigma) := V$ and $V(\rho) := \{0\}$ for $\rho \neq \sigma$. In this sense, we may write $L = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Delta} L(\sigma)$.

A homomorphism $f : L \rightarrow K$ is said to be *unmixed* if $f(\sigma/\rho) = 0$ for any σ, ρ with $\sigma \neq \rho$. If f is unmixed, $\text{Ker } f$, $\text{Coker } f$ and $\text{Im } f$ are defined naturally as an object of $\text{GEM}(\Delta)$. We denote by $\text{UGEM}(\Delta)$ the category of the objects of $\text{GEM}(\Delta)$ with the class of homomorphisms restricted to unmixed ones. It is easy to see that $\text{UGEM}(\Delta)$ is an abelian category.

Let $f : L \rightarrow K$ be a homomorphism in $\text{GEM}(\Delta)$. We say that L is a submodule or a subobject of K , if f is unmixed and $f(\sigma/\sigma) : L(\sigma) \rightarrow K(\sigma)$ is an inclusion

map for every $\sigma \in \Delta$. If L is a submodule of K , then we define an object K/L in $\text{GEM}(\Delta)$ by $(K/L)(\sigma) := K(\sigma)/L(\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in \Delta$. Namely, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow K/L \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.3)$$

in $\text{UGEM}(\Delta)$.

We denote $\hat{\Delta} := \Delta \cup \{\alpha\}$ and call it an *augmented fan* where α is an imaginary cone. We define $A(\alpha) := A$. The category $\text{GEM}(\hat{\Delta})$ is defined similarly by supposing $\sigma \prec \alpha$ for all $\sigma \in \hat{\Delta}$. An object L of $\text{GEM}(\Delta)$ is also regarded as that of $\text{GEM}(\hat{\Delta})$ by setting $L(\alpha) := \{0\}$.

For each $\rho \in \hat{\Delta}$, an additive covariant functor

$$i_\rho^* : \text{GEM}(\hat{\Delta}) \longrightarrow \text{GM}(A(\rho)) \quad (2.4)$$

is defined by

$$i_\rho^*(L) := \bigoplus_{\sigma \in F(\rho)} L(\sigma)_{A(\rho)}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $F(\rho)$ is the set of faces of ρ and we suppose $F(\rho) = \hat{\Delta}$ if $\rho = \alpha$. Recall that $L(\sigma)_{A(\rho)}$ is the graded $A(\rho)$ -module $L(\sigma) \otimes_{A(\sigma)} A(\rho)$ for each σ . We usually denote by Γ the functor i_α^*

For a homomorphism $f : L \rightarrow K$ in $\text{GEM}(\hat{\Delta})$, the (σ, τ) -component of the homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} i_\rho^*(f) & : & i_\rho^*(L) \longrightarrow i_\rho^*(K) \\ & & \parallel \qquad \qquad \parallel \\ & & \bigoplus_{\sigma \in F(\rho)} L(\sigma)_{A(\rho)} \qquad \bigoplus_{\tau \in F(\rho)} K(\tau)_{A(\rho)} \end{array} \quad (2.6)$$

is defined to be $f(\sigma/\tau)_{A(\rho)}$ if $\sigma \prec \tau$ and zero otherwise.

For each $\rho \in \Delta$, the additive covariant functor

$$i_\rho^! : \text{GEM}(\hat{\Delta}) \longrightarrow \text{GM}(A(\rho)) \quad (2.7)$$

is defined by $i_\rho^!(L) := L(\rho)$. For $f : L \rightarrow K$, the homomorphism $i_\rho^!(f)$ is defined to be $f(\rho/\rho)$.

For cones σ, τ with $\sigma \prec \tau$ and $r_\tau = r_\sigma + 1$, we define the *incidence isomorphism* $q'_{\sigma/\tau} : \det(\sigma) \rightarrow \det(\tau)$ of free $\mathbf{Z}$ -modules of rank one as follows.

By the condition, $N(\tau)/N(\sigma)$ is a free $\mathbf{Z}$ -module of rank one. We take $a \in N(\tau) \cap \tau$ such that the class of a in $N(\tau)/N(\sigma)$ is a generator. Then we define $q'_{\sigma/\tau}(w) := a \wedge w$ for $w \in \det(\sigma)$.

For cones σ, ρ with $\sigma \prec \rho$ and $r_\rho = r_\sigma + 2$, there exists exactly two cones τ with $\sigma \prec \tau \prec \rho$ and $r_\tau = r_\sigma + 1$. Let these cones be τ_1, τ_2 . Then the equality

$$q'_{\sigma/\tau_1} \cdot q'_{\tau_1/\rho} + q'_{\sigma/\tau_2} \cdot q'_{\tau_2/\rho} = 0 \quad (2.8)$$

holds (cf. [I1, Lem.1.4]).

For a subset $\Phi \subset \Delta$ and an integer i , we set

$$\Phi(i) := \{\sigma \in \Phi ; r_\sigma = i\} . \quad (2.9)$$

A subset Φ of Δ is said to be *locally star closed* if $\sigma, \rho \in \Phi$, $\tau \in \Delta$ and $\sigma \prec \tau \prec \rho$ imply $\tau \in \Phi$.

For a locally star closed subset Φ of Δ , we define a complex $E(\Phi, \mathbf{Z})^\bullet$ of free $\mathbf{Z}$ -modules as follows.

For each integer i , we set

$$E(\Phi, \mathbf{Z})^i := \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Phi(i)} \det(\sigma) . \quad (2.10)$$

For $\sigma \in \Phi(i)$ and $\tau \in \Phi(i+1)$, the (σ, τ) -component of the coboundary map

$$d^i : E(\Phi, \mathbf{Z})^i \rightarrow E(\Phi, \mathbf{Z})^{i+1} \quad (2.11)$$

is defined to be $q'_{\sigma/\tau}$. The equality $d^{i+1} \cdot d^i = 0$ follows from (2.8) for every i .

We say that a locally star closed subset $\Phi \subset \Delta$ is *1-complete* if, for each $\sigma \in \Phi(r-1)$, there exist exactly two τ 's in $\Phi(r)$ with $\sigma \prec \tau$.

If the finite fan Δ is complete [O1, Thm.1.11], then $\Delta(\sigma \prec) := \{\rho \in \Delta ; \sigma \prec \rho\}$ is 1-complete for every $\sigma \in \Delta$.

If Φ is 1-complete, then we can define an augmented complex $E(\hat{\Phi}, \mathbf{Z})^\bullet$ for $\hat{\Phi} := \Phi \cup \{\alpha\}$ by defining $r_\alpha := r+1$, $\det(\alpha) := \wedge^r N$ and $q'_{\tau/\alpha} := \text{id}$ for every $\tau \in \Phi(r)$ with respect to the identification $\det(\tau) = \det(\alpha)$. In particular, $E(\hat{\Phi}, \mathbf{Z})^i = E(\Phi, \mathbf{Z})^i$ for $i \neq r+1$ and $E(\hat{\Phi}, \mathbf{Z})^{r+1} = \det(\alpha)$.

When Δ is complete, $E(\hat{\Delta}(\sigma \prec), \mathbf{Z})^\bullet$ is acyclic for every $\sigma \in \Delta$. Actually, $H^i(E(\Delta(\sigma \prec), \mathbf{Z})^\bullet)$ is equal to the $(i-r_\sigma-1)$ -th reduced cohomology group of an $(r-r_\sigma-1)$ -dimensional sphere, and hence it vanishes if $i \neq r$. The r -th cohomology is killed by $E(\hat{\Phi}, \mathbf{Z})^{r+1} = \det(\alpha)$.

We denote by $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$ and $\text{CGEM}(\hat{\Delta})$ the category of finite d -complexes in $\text{GEM}(\Delta)$ and $\text{GEM}(\hat{\Delta})$, respectively.

Let $(L^\bullet, d_L)$ be an object of $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$. Then, for each $\rho \in \Delta$, we get an object $(L(\rho)^\bullet, d_L(\rho/\rho))$ of $\text{CGM}(A(\rho))$ which we denote simply $L(\rho)^\bullet$.

For $\rho, \mu \in \Delta$ with $\rho \prec \mu$, we set $F[\rho, \mu] := \{\sigma \in F(\mu) ; \rho \prec \sigma\}$. Then the equality $d_L \cdot d_L = 0$ implies that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in F[\rho, \mu]} d_L^{i+1}(\sigma/\mu) \cdot d_L^i(\rho/\sigma) = 0 \quad (2.12)$$

for each integer i . In particular, if $r_\mu = r_\rho + 1$, then the collection $(d_L^i(\rho/\mu) ; i \in \mathbf{Z})$ defines a homomorphism of complexes $d_L(\rho/\mu) : L(\rho)^\bullet \rightarrow L(\mu)[1]^\bullet$ since then $F[\rho, \mu] = \{\rho, \mu\}$ and the equality (2.12) imply the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(\rho)^i & \xrightarrow{d_L^i(\rho/\rho)} & L^{i+1}(\rho) \\ d_L^i(\rho/\mu) \downarrow & & \downarrow d_L^{i+1}(\rho/\mu) \\ L(\mu)[1]^i & \xrightarrow{d_{L[1]}^i(\mu/\mu)} & L(\mu)[1]^{i+1} \end{array}, \quad (2.13)$$

where $d_{L[1]}^i(\mu/\mu) = -d_L^{i+1}(\mu/\mu)$.

Conversely, assume that complexes $L(\rho)^\bullet \in \text{CGM}(A(\rho))$ for $\rho \in \Delta$ and homomorphisms

$$d_L^i(\sigma/\tau) : L(\sigma)^i \longrightarrow L(\tau)^{i+1} \quad (2.14)$$

for $\sigma, \tau \in \Delta$ with $\sigma \prec \tau$ and $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ are given. If they satisfy (2.12) for all (ρ, μ) and $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, then we get a complex $(L^\bullet, d_L)$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$.

An object $L^\bullet$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$ is said to be *shallow* if $d_L(\sigma/\rho) = 0$ for any σ, ρ with $r_\rho - r_\sigma \geq 2$.

In order to define a shallow object $L^\bullet$ of $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$, it is sufficient to give the following data (1), (2) and check the condition (3).

- (1) A complex $L(\sigma)^\bullet \in \text{CGM}(A(\sigma))$ for each $\sigma \in \Delta$.
- (2) A homomorphism $d(\sigma/\tau) : L(\sigma)^\bullet \rightarrow L(\tau)[1]^\bullet$ for each pair (σ, τ) of cones in Δ with $\sigma \prec \tau$ and $r_\tau = r_\sigma + 1$.
- (3) The equality

$$d(\tau_1/\rho)^{i+1} \cdot d(\sigma/\tau_1)^i + d(\tau_2/\rho)^{i+1} \cdot d(\sigma/\tau_2)^i = 0 \quad (2.15)$$

holds for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ and all pairs (σ, ρ) with $\sigma \prec \rho$ and $r_\rho = r_\sigma + 2$, where τ_1, τ_2 are the dual cones with $\sigma \prec \tau_i \prec \rho$ and $r_{\tau_i} = r_\sigma + 1$.

For an object $L^\bullet$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$, we define a shallow object $\tilde{L}^\bullet$ as follows.

For each $\rho \in \Delta$ and $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, we set

$$\tilde{L}(\rho)^i := \bigoplus_{\sigma \in F(\rho)} \bigoplus_{\eta \in F(\sigma)} \det(\rho) \otimes \det(\sigma)^* \otimes L(\eta)_{A(\rho)}^{-r_\rho + r_\sigma + i}, \quad (2.16)$$

where $\det(\sigma)^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\det(\sigma), \mathbf{Z})$. For

$$\tilde{L}(\rho)^{i+1} := \bigoplus_{\tau \in F(\rho)} \bigoplus_{\zeta \in F(\tau)} \det(\rho) \otimes \det(\tau)^* \otimes L(\zeta)_{A(\rho)}^{-r_\rho + r_\tau + i + 1}, \quad (2.17)$$

the $((\sigma, \eta), (\tau, \zeta))$ -component of the coboundary map $d(\rho/\rho)^i : \tilde{L}(\rho)^i \rightarrow \tilde{L}(\rho)^{i+1}$ is defined to be zero map except for the cases (a) $\sigma = \tau$ and $\eta \prec \zeta$, or (b) $\tau \prec \sigma$, $r_\sigma = r_\tau + 1$ and $\eta = \zeta$. In case (a), the component is defined to be $(-1)^{r_\rho - r_\sigma} \text{id} \otimes$

$d(\eta/\zeta)^{-r_\rho+r_\sigma+i}$, and in case (b), it is defined to be $(-1)^{r_\rho-r_\sigma-1}1_{\det(\rho)} \otimes (q'_{\tau/\sigma})^* \otimes \text{id}$, where id 's are identity maps of the corresponding parts.

For $\rho \prec \mu$ with $r_\mu = r_\rho + 1$, the homomorphism $d(\rho/\mu) : \tilde{L}(\rho)^\bullet \rightarrow \tilde{L}(\mu)[1]^\bullet$ is defined to be the tensor product of $q'_{\rho/\mu}$ and the natural inclusion map.

It is easy to check that $\tilde{L}(\rho)^\bullet$ is actually a complex and $d(\rho/\mu)$ is a homomorphism of complexes. The condition (3) in the definition of shallow complexes is satisfied by the equality (2.8).

We define a homomorphism $f_L : L^\bullet \rightarrow \tilde{L}^\bullet$ as follows.

For $\tau, \rho \in \Delta$ with $\tau \prec \rho$, the $A(\tau)$ -homomorphism $f_L(\tau/\rho)^i : L(\tau)^i \rightarrow \tilde{L}(\rho)^i$ is the inclusion map to the component $\det(\rho) \otimes \det(\sigma)^* \otimes L(\eta)_{A(\rho)}^{-r_\rho+r_\sigma+i}$ for $\sigma = \rho$ and $\eta = \tau$ in the description (2.16). The compatibility with the coboundary maps is checked easily.

A homomorphism $f : L^\bullet \rightarrow K^\bullet$ of d -complexes of graded exterior modules on Δ is said to be a *quasi-isomorphism* if $f(\sigma/\sigma) : L(\sigma)^\bullet \rightarrow K(\sigma)^\bullet$ is a quasi-isomorphism of d -complexes of $A(\sigma)$ -modules for every $\sigma \in \Delta$.

The following proposition shows that any object in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$ is quasi-isomorphic to a shallow one.

Proposition 2.1 *For any $L^\bullet$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$, the homomorphism $f_L : L^\bullet \rightarrow \tilde{L}^\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic.*

Proof. We have to check that $f_L(\rho/\rho) : L(\rho)^\bullet \rightarrow \tilde{L}(\rho)^\bullet$ is a quasi-isomorphism for each $\rho \in \Delta$. We define a decreasing filtration $\{F^k\}$ on the complex $V^\bullet := \tilde{L}(\rho)^\bullet$ as follows. For each integer k , $F^k(V)^i$ is defined to be the direct sum of the components

$$\det(\rho) \otimes \det(\sigma)^* \otimes L(\eta)_{A(\rho)}^{-r_\rho+r_\sigma+i} \quad (2.18)$$

in the description (2.16) for σ, η with $r_\eta \geq k$. Then $F^k(V)^0 = V^\bullet$ and $F^k(V)^\bullet$ is a subcomplex of $V^\bullet$ for each $k \geq 0$. We can decompose the quotient complex

$F^k(V)^\bullet / F^{k+1}(V)^\bullet$ to a direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{\eta \in F(\rho)(k)} V_{k,\eta}^\bullet, \quad (2.19)$$

where $V_{k,\eta}^\bullet$ is the part consisting of the components related to η . Then we see that $V_{k,\eta}^\bullet$ is isomorphic to the associated single complex of the bicomplex

$$\det(\rho) \otimes \text{Hom}(E(F[\eta, \rho], \mathbf{Z}), \mathbf{Z})[-r_\rho]^\bullet \otimes L(\eta)_{A(\rho)}^\bullet, \quad (2.20)$$

where we denote by $\text{Hom}(E, \mathbf{Z})^\bullet$ the complex defined by

$$\text{Hom}(E, \mathbf{Z})^i := \text{Hom}(E^{-i}, \mathbf{Z}) \quad (2.21)$$

and $d^i := (-1)^{i+1}(d_E^{-i-1})^*$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. If $k < r_\rho$, then $\eta \neq \rho$ and $E(F[\eta, \rho], \mathbf{Z})^\bullet$ is acyclic, and hence so is $V_{k,\eta}^\bullet$. Hence $V^\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic to the subcomplex $F^{r_\rho}(V)^\bullet$. Since $f_L(\rho/\rho)$ is an isomorphism from $L(\rho)^\bullet$ onto $F^{r_\rho}(V)^\bullet$, it is a quasi-isomorphism to $V^\bullet = \tilde{L}(\rho)^\bullet$. *q.e.d.*

For a complex $L^\bullet$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$, we define a shallow complex $\mathbf{D}(L)^\bullet$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$ as follows.

For each $\rho \in \Delta$, we set

$$\mathbf{D}(L)(\rho)^\bullet := \det(\rho) \otimes D_\rho(i_\rho^* L)[-r_\rho]^\bullet. \quad (2.22)$$

Let $\rho, \mu \in \Delta$ satisfy $\rho \prec \mu$ and $r_\mu = r_\rho + 1$. By the definition of i_μ^* and i_ρ^* , we see that $(i_\rho^* L^i)_{A(\mu)}$ is a direct summand of $i_\mu^* L^i$. Let $p(\mu/\rho)^i : i_\mu^* L^i \rightarrow (i_\rho^* L^i)_{A(\mu)}$ be the natural projection map. We see that $\{p(\mu/\rho)^i ; i \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ defines a homomorphism $p(\mu/\rho) : i_\mu^* L^\bullet \rightarrow (i_\rho^* L)_{A(\mu)}^\bullet$. Hence we get a homomorphism

$$D_\mu(p(\mu/\rho)) : D_\mu((i_\rho^* L)_{A(\mu)})^\bullet \longrightarrow D_\mu(i_\mu^* L)^\bullet. \quad (2.23)$$

Since

$$D_\mu((i_\rho^* L)_{A(\mu)})^\bullet = D_\rho(i_\rho^* L)_{A(\mu)}^\bullet \quad (2.24)$$

by Lemma 1.4, we get a homomorphism

$$i(\rho/\mu) : D_\rho(i_\rho^* L)^\bullet \longrightarrow D_\mu(i_\mu^* L)^\bullet \quad (2.25)$$

as the composite of the inclusion $D_\rho(i_\rho^* L)^\bullet \rightarrow D_\rho(i_\rho^* L)_{A(\mu)}^\bullet$ and (2.23). We define

$$d_{\mathbf{D}(L)}(\rho/\mu) : \mathbf{D}(L)(\rho)^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(L)(\mu)[1]^\bullet \quad (2.26)$$

to be $q_{\rho/\mu} \otimes i(\rho/\mu)[-r_\rho]$. By the equality (2.8), the condition (3) of the construction of shallow complexes is satisfied and we get a complex $\mathbf{D}(L)^\bullet$.

Lemma 2.2 *Let $L^\bullet$ be an object of $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$. Then, there exists a quasi-isomorphism $\varphi : L^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D}(L))^\bullet$.*

Proof. We prove that $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D}(L))^\bullet$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{L}^\bullet$. Then the lemma follows from Proposition 2.1.

Let ρ be an element of Δ . For each integer i ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D}(L))(\rho)^i \\ = & \bigoplus_{\sigma \in F(\rho)} \det(\rho) \otimes D_\rho(\mathbf{D}(L)(\sigma))_{A(\rho)}^{r_\rho - i} \\ = & \bigoplus_{\sigma \in F(\rho)} \bigoplus_{\eta \in F(\sigma)} \det(\rho) \otimes D_\rho(\det(\sigma) \otimes D_\sigma(L(\eta))_{A(\sigma)}^{-r_\rho + r_\sigma + i})_{A(\rho)} \\ = & \bigoplus_{\sigma \in F(\rho)} \bigoplus_{\eta \in F(\sigma)} \det(\rho) \otimes \det(\sigma)^* \otimes D_\rho(D_\sigma(L(\eta))_{A(\sigma)}^{-r_\rho + r_\sigma + i})_{A(\rho)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.4, we have a natural isomorphism

$$D_\rho(D_\sigma(L(\eta))_{A(\sigma)}^{-r_\rho + r_\sigma + i})_{A(\rho)} \simeq D_\rho(D_\rho(L(\eta))_{A(\rho)}^{-r_\rho + r_\sigma + i})_{A(\rho)}. \quad (2.27)$$

We identify the last $A(\rho)$ -module with $L(\eta)_{A(\rho)}^{-r_\rho + r_\sigma + i}$ by the canonical isomorphism for all (σ, η) . we know $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D}(L))(\rho)^i = \tilde{L}(\rho)^i$ for every $\rho \in \Delta$ and $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, however the coboundary map is not equal to that of $\tilde{L}^\bullet$.

We consider the descriptions (2.16) and (2.17) with replacing $\tilde{L}(\rho)^i$ and $\tilde{L}(\rho)^{i+1}$ by $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D}(L))(\rho)^i$ and $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D}(L))(\rho)^{i+1}$, respectively. We can check that the $((\sigma, \eta), (\tau, \zeta))$ -component of the coboundary map $d(\rho/\rho)^i : \tilde{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{D}(L))(\rho)^i \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D}(L))(\rho)^{i+1}$ is the zero map except for the cases (a) $\sigma = \tau$ and $\eta \prec \zeta$, or (b) $\tau \prec \sigma$, $r_\sigma = r_\tau + 1$ and $\eta = \zeta$. In case (a), the component is calculated to be $(-1)^{r_\rho+1} \text{id} \otimes d(\eta/\zeta)^{-r_\sigma+r_\sigma+i}$, and in case (b), it is $(-1)^{i+1} 1_{\det(\rho)} \otimes (q'_{\tau/\sigma})^* \otimes \text{id}$.

For each ρ and i , we define an isomorphism

$$\varphi(\rho)^i : \tilde{L}(\rho)^i \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D}(L))(\rho)^i \quad (2.28)$$

by defining its restriction to the component

$$\det(\rho) \otimes \det(\sigma)^* \otimes L(\eta)_{A(\rho)}^{-r_\rho+r_\sigma+i} \quad (2.29)$$

to be $(-1)^{(r_\rho+i)(r_\sigma+1)}$ times the identity map to the same component of $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D}(L))(\rho)^i$. Then we see that the collection $\{\varphi(\rho)^i\}$ defines an unmixed isomorphism $\tilde{L}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D}(L))^\bullet$. *q.e.d.*

We can define a similar functor

$$\hat{\mathbf{D}} : \text{CGEM}(\hat{\Delta}) \longrightarrow \text{CGEM}(\hat{\Delta}) \quad (2.30)$$

for an augmented 1-complete fan $\hat{\Delta}$ by the convention that $r_\alpha = r + 1$, $F(\alpha) = \hat{\Delta}$ and $q_{\tau/\alpha} := 1_{\det N}$ for $\tau \in \Delta(r)$. When $L^\bullet$ is an object of $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$, $\hat{\mathbf{D}}(L)^\bullet$ is in $\text{CGEM}(\hat{\Delta})$. Since $\det(\alpha) = \det N$, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{D}}(L)(\alpha)^\bullet \longrightarrow \hat{\mathbf{D}}(L)^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(L)^\bullet \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.31)$$

in $\text{CGEM}(\hat{\Delta})$ as well as an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \det N \otimes \mathbf{D}_N(\Gamma(L))[-r-1]^\bullet \longrightarrow \Gamma(\hat{\mathbf{D}}(L))^\bullet \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{D}(L))^\bullet \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.32)$$

in $\text{CGM}(A)$ by applying Γ .

Lemma 2.3 *Assume that Δ is a complete fan. Then, for any $L^\bullet$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$, the complex of A -modules $\Gamma(\hat{\mathbf{D}}(L))^\bullet$ is acyclic.*

Proof. For each $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have

$$\Gamma(\hat{\mathbf{D}}(L))^i = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \hat{\Delta}} \bigoplus_{\sigma \in F(\rho)} \det(\rho) \otimes D_\rho(L(\sigma)_{A(\rho)}^{-r_\rho-i})_A. \quad (2.33)$$

For

$$\Gamma(\hat{\mathbf{D}}(L))^{i+1} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \hat{\Delta}} \bigoplus_{\tau \in F(\mu)} \det(\mu) \otimes D_\mu(L(\tau)_{A(\mu)}^{-r_\mu-i-1})_A, \quad (2.34)$$

the $((\rho, \sigma), (\mu, \tau))$ -component of the coboundary map is nonzero only for (a) $\rho = \mu$ and $\tau \prec \sigma$, or (b) $\rho \prec \mu$, $r_\mu = r_\rho + 1$ and $\sigma = \tau$. In case (a), the component is $(-1)^{i+1} \text{id} \otimes D_\rho(d(\tau/\sigma)_{A(\rho)}^{-r_\rho-i-1})_A$, and in case (b), it is $q'_{\rho/\mu} \otimes \text{id}$, where id 's are the identities of the corresponding parts, respectively.

For $V^\bullet := \Gamma(\hat{\mathbf{D}}(L))^\bullet$, we introduce a decreasing filtration $\{F^k\}$ as follow. For each integer i , we define $F^k(V)^i$ to be the direct sum of the components $\det(\rho) \otimes D_\rho(L(\sigma)_{A(\rho)}^{-r_\rho-i})_A$ of $\Gamma(\hat{\mathbf{D}}(L))^i$ in the description (2.33) for all pairs (ρ, σ) with $r_\sigma \geq k$. Then $F^k(V)^\bullet$ is a subcomplex of $V^\bullet$ for every $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $F^k(V)^\bullet / F^{k+1}(V)^\bullet$ is a direct sum of complexes $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Delta(k)} V_\sigma^\bullet$, where $V_\sigma^\bullet$ is the part related to each σ . We see that $V_\sigma^\bullet$ is isomorphic to the associated single complex of the bicomplex

$$E(F[\sigma, \alpha], \mathbf{Z})^\bullet \otimes D_\sigma(L(\sigma))_A^\bullet. \quad (2.35)$$

Since Δ is complete, $E(F[\sigma, \alpha], \mathbf{Z})^\bullet$ is acyclic for every $\sigma \in \Delta$. Hence $F^k(V)^\bullet / F^{k+1}(V)^\bullet$ is also acyclic for every k . Since $F^0(V)^\bullet = V^\bullet$ and $F^{r+1}(V)^\bullet = \{0\}$, $V^\bullet$ is acyclic.

q.e.d.

By the long exact sequence obtained from the exact sequence (2.32), we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4 *If Δ is complete, then there exists an isomorphism*

$$H^{-p}(D_N(\Gamma(L))^\bullet) \simeq H^{r-p}(\Gamma(\mathbf{D}(L))^\bullet) \quad (2.36)$$

in $\mathrm{GM}(A)$ for each integer p .

The following proposition is a consequence of Lemma 1.5 and Corollary 2.4.

Proposition 2.5 *Assume that Δ is complete. For any integer p, q , the equality*

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} H^p(\Gamma(L)^{\bullet})_q = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} H^{r-p}(\Gamma(\mathbf{D}(L))^{\bullet})_{-r-q} \quad (2.37)$$

holds.

A finite fan Δ of $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ is said to be *lifted complete* if there exists a rational line ℓ of $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ going through the origin with the following property.

Let $\bar{\sigma}$ be the image of σ in the quotient $N_{\mathbf{R}}/\ell$ for each $\sigma \in \Delta$. Then (1) $\dim \bar{\sigma} = r_{\sigma}$ for every $\sigma \in \Delta$, (2) $\bar{\sigma} \neq \bar{\tau}$ for any distinct $\sigma, \tau \in \Delta$ and (3) $\Delta_{\ell} := \{\bar{\sigma} ; \sigma \in \Delta\}$ is a complete fan of $N_{\mathbf{R}}/\ell$.

For a lifted complete fan, the associated toric variety has an action of the multiplicative algebraic group $\mathbf{G}_m$, and it has a complete toric variety of dimension $r - 1$ as the geometric quotient in the sense of Mumford's geometric invariant theory.

Let Δ be a lifted complete fan with respect to ℓ and let ℓ^+ be one of the one-dimensional cones contained in ℓ . By the property (1), $\dim(\tau + \ell^+) = r_{\tau} + 1$ for every $\tau \in \Delta$. The *oriented* lifted complete fan $\tilde{\Delta}$ is defined to be $\Delta \cup \{\beta\}$ where β is an imaginary cone of dimension r . We suppose $\sigma \prec \beta$ for every $\sigma \in \Delta$. We define $\det(\beta) := \det N$ and $q'_{\tau/\beta} := q'_{\tau/\tau'}$ for $\tau \in \Delta(r-1)$, where $\tau' := \tau + \ell^+$

For any star closed subset $\Phi \subset \tilde{\Delta}$, the complex $E(\Phi, \mathbf{Z})^{\bullet}$ is defined similarly as in the previous case. The complex $E(\tilde{\Delta}(\sigma \prec), \mathbf{Z})^{\bullet}$ is acyclic for every $\sigma \in \Delta$ since it is isomorphic to the augmented complex $E(\hat{\Delta}_{\ell}, \mathbf{Z})^{\bullet}$.

Each lifted complete fan has two orientations according to the choice of ℓ^+ , but it does not depend on the choice of the line ℓ .

Here we give three typical examples of oriented lifted complete fans.

(1) Let $C \subset N_{\mathbf{R}}$ be a closed convex cone of dimension r which may not be strongly convex and which is not equal to $N_{\mathbf{R}}$. Let ∂C be the boundary set of C . Then a finite fan Δ with the support ∂C is lifted complete. Any ℓ which intersects the interior of C satisfies the condition. As the natural orientation, we take $\ell^+ := \ell \cap C$.

(2) Let Φ be a simplicial complete fan of $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ and let γ be a one-dimensional cone in Φ . Set

$$\Delta := \{\sigma \in \Phi ; \gamma \not\subset \sigma, \sigma + \gamma \in \Phi\} . \quad (2.38)$$

Then Δ is a lifted complete fan and $\ell^+ := \gamma$ defines an orientation (cf.[O2]).

(3) Let N' be a free $\mathbf{Z}$ -module of rank $r - 1$, Φ a finite complete fan of $N'_{\mathbf{R}}$ and h a real-valued continuous function on $N'_{\mathbf{R}}$ which is linear on each cone $\sigma \in \Phi$ and has rational values on $N'_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Then the fan $\Delta = \{\sigma' ; \sigma \in \Phi\}$ of $N_{\mathbf{R}} := N'_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus \mathbf{R}$ is lifted complete, where $\sigma' := \{(x, h(x)) ; x \in \sigma\}$ for each $\sigma \in \Phi$. We take $\ell^+ := \{0\} \times \mathbf{R}_0$ as the orientation. This type of fan is treated in [O3].

Let $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta \cup \{\beta\}$ be an oriented lifted complete fan. The category $\text{GEM}(\tilde{\Delta})$ is defined by setting $A(\beta) := A$. Then the functors

$$i_{\beta}^* : \text{GEM}(\tilde{\Delta}) \longrightarrow \text{GM}(A) \quad (2.39)$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}} : \text{GEM}(\tilde{\Delta}) \longrightarrow \text{GEM}(\tilde{\Delta}) \quad (2.40)$$

are defined similarly as i_{α}^* and $\hat{\mathbf{D}}$ in the case of augmented 1-complete fans, respectively. We denote also by Γ the functor i_{β}^* .

We omit the proofs of the following results, since they are similar to those of the corresponding results for an augmented complete fan.

Lemma 2.6 *Let $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta \cup \{\beta\}$ be an oriented lifted complete fan. Then, for any $L^{\bullet}$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$, the complex of A -modules $\Gamma(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}(L))^{\bullet}$ is acyclic, and there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \det N \otimes \mathbf{D}(\Gamma(L))^{\bullet}[-r] \longrightarrow \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}(L))^{\bullet} \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{D}(L))^{\bullet} \longrightarrow 0 . \quad (2.41)$$

Corollary 2.7 *Let $\Delta \cup \{\beta\}$ be an oriented lifted complete fan. Then for any $L^\bullet \in \text{CGEM}(\Delta)$, there exists an isomorphism*

$$H^{-p}(\mathbf{D}_N(\Gamma(L))^\bullet) \simeq H^{r-p-1}(\Gamma(\mathbf{D}(L))^\bullet) \quad (2.42)$$

in $\text{GM}(A)$ for each integer p .

Proposition 2.8 *Let $\Delta \cup \{\beta\}$ be an oriented lifted complete fan. For any integer p, q , the equality*

$$\dim H^p(\Gamma(L)^\bullet)_q = \dim H^{r-p-1}(\Gamma(\mathbf{D}(L))^\bullet)_{-r-q} \quad (2.43)$$

holds.

Let Δ be a finite fan of $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ and let Φ be a subfan of Δ . For $K^\bullet$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Phi)$, we denote by the same symbol $K^\bullet$ the trivial extension to Δ , i.e., we define $K(\sigma)^\bullet := \{0\}$ for $\sigma \in \Delta \setminus \Phi$.

For $L^\bullet \in \text{CGEM}(\Delta)$ and $\sigma \in \Delta$, we set

$$i_\sigma^\circ(L)^\bullet := i_\sigma^*(L|_{F(\sigma) \setminus \{\sigma\}})^\bullet, \quad (2.44)$$

where $(L|_{F(\sigma) \setminus \{\sigma\}})^\bullet$ is the restriction of $L^\bullet$ to $F(\sigma) \setminus \{\sigma\}$.

We see the case $\Phi = \Delta \setminus \{\pi\}$ for a maximal element $\pi \in \Delta$. Let $L^\bullet \in \text{CGEM}(\Delta)$. Since

$$i_\pi^\circ(L)^i = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in F(\pi) \setminus \{\pi\}} L(\sigma)_{A(\pi)}^i \quad (2.45)$$

and $i_\pi^*(L)^i = L(\pi)^i \oplus i_\pi^\circ(L)^i$ for each $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L(\pi)^\bullet \longrightarrow i_\pi^*(L)^\bullet \longrightarrow i_\pi^\circ(L)^\bullet \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.46)$$

in $\text{CGM}(A(\pi))$. In other words, $i_\pi^*(L)^\bullet$ is equal to the mapping cone of the homomorphism

$$\phi : i_\pi^\circ(L)[-1]^\bullet \longrightarrow L(\pi)^\bullet \quad (2.47)$$

whose component for each $\sigma \in F(\pi) \setminus \{\pi\}$ is $d(\sigma/\pi)_{A(\pi)}$.

The extension of $(L|\Phi)^\bullet$ to $L^\bullet$ is determined by the above homomorphism ϕ . Actually, if $(L|\Phi)^\bullet \in \text{CGEM}(\Phi)$, $L(\pi)^\bullet$ and the homomorphism (2.47) is given, then we get the extension $L^\bullet$.

We define a functor $j_!^\Phi : \text{CGEM}(\Phi) \rightarrow \text{CGEM}(\Delta)$ as follows.

For $K^\bullet$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Phi)$, we define $j_!^\Phi(K)^\bullet$ by

$$j_!^\Phi(K)(\sigma)^\bullet := K(\sigma)^\bullet \quad (2.48)$$

for $\sigma \in \Phi$ and

$$j_!^\Phi(K)(\pi)^\bullet := i_\pi^\circ(K)[-1]^\bullet = i_\pi^*(K)[-1]^\bullet. \quad (2.49)$$

In particular,

$$j_!^\Phi(K)(\pi)^{i+1} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in F(\pi) \setminus \{\pi\}} K(\sigma)_{A(\pi)}^i. \quad (2.50)$$

We define the extension $j_!^\Phi(K)^\bullet \in \text{CGEM}(\Delta)$ of $K^\bullet$ by the identity map

$$i_\pi^\circ(K)[-1]^\bullet \longrightarrow j_!^\Phi(K)(\pi)^\bullet. \quad (2.51)$$

Let $(L^\bullet, d_L)$ and $(K^\bullet, d_K)$ be d -complexes in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$. By an *unmixed* homomorphism $f : L^\bullet \rightarrow K^\bullet$, we mean a collection $\{f^i ; i \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ of unmixed homomorphisms $f^i : L^i \rightarrow K^i$ such that $d_K^i \cdot f^i = f^{i+1} \cdot d_L^i$ for every $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Note that d_L^i and d_K^i are not necessary unmixed.

Let $L^\bullet$ be an object of $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$. Then there exists a unique unmixed homomorphism $j_!^\Phi(L|\Phi)^\bullet \rightarrow L^\bullet$ which is the identity map on Φ . The homomorphism

$$j_!^\Phi(L|\Phi)(\pi)^\bullet = i_\pi^\circ(L)[-1]^\bullet \rightarrow L(\pi)^\bullet \quad (2.52)$$

is defined to be (2.47).

We can define a functor $j_!^\Phi : \text{CGEM}(\Phi) \rightarrow \text{CGEM}(\Delta)$ for general subfan $\Phi \subset \Delta$ as the composite of the above functors. However, we will not use the general case.

Let π be a maximal element of Δ . For $L^\bullet$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$ and an integer k , we define $\text{gt}_\pi^{\geq k} L^\bullet$ by

$$(\text{gt}_\pi^{\geq k} L)(\sigma)^\bullet = \begin{cases} L(\sigma)^\bullet & \text{if } \sigma \neq \pi \\ \text{gt}^{\geq k} L(\pi)^\bullet & \text{if } \sigma = \pi. \end{cases} \quad (2.53)$$

There exists a natural unmixed homomorphism $L^\bullet \longrightarrow \text{gt}_\pi^{\geq k} L^\bullet$ such that the components for $\sigma \neq \pi$ are the identity maps and the component for π is the natural surjection $L^\bullet(\pi) \rightarrow \text{gt}^{\geq k}(L(\pi))^\bullet$. This homomorphism is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if $\text{gt}_{\leq k-1}(L^\bullet(\pi))$ is acyclic.

Two objects $L^\bullet, K^\bullet$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$ are said to be *quasi-isomorphic* if there exist a finite sequence $L_0^\bullet, L_1^\bullet, \dots, L_{2k}^\bullet$ of objects in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$ with $L^\bullet = L_0^\bullet$ and $L_{2k}^\bullet = K^\bullet$ and quasi-isomorphisms $L_{2i-2}^\bullet \rightarrow L_{2i-1}^\bullet$ and $L_{2i}^\bullet \rightarrow L_{2i-1}^\bullet$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Some lemmas on this definition are given at the end this section.

A map $\mathbf{p} : \Delta \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is called a *perversity* on a finite fan Δ . We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9 *Let $\mathbf{p}$ be a perversity on Δ . Then there exists a finite d -complex $\text{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Delta)^\bullet$ of graded exterior modules on Δ satisfying the following conditions.*

- (1) $H^0(\text{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Delta)(\mathbf{0})^\bullet) = \mathbf{Q}$ and $H^i(\text{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Delta)(\mathbf{0})^\bullet) = \{0\}$ for $i \neq 0$.
- (2) For $\sigma \in \Delta \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $i+j \leq \mathbf{p}(\sigma)$, we have $H^i(\text{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Delta)(\sigma)^\bullet)_j = \{0\}$.
- (3) For $\sigma \in \Delta \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $i+j \geq \mathbf{p}(\sigma)$, we have $H^i(\text{ic}_\sigma^*(\text{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Delta))^\bullet)_j = \{0\}$.

Futhermore, if $L^\bullet$ is another finite d -complex satisfying the above conditions, then $L^\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\text{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Delta)^\bullet$.

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on the number of cones in Δ . If $\Delta = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, then we set $\text{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Delta)(\mathbf{0})^0 = \mathbf{Q}$ and $\text{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Delta)(\mathbf{0})^i = \{0\}$ for $i \neq 0$. Then (1) and the last assertion are clearly satisfied.

Assume that $\Delta \neq \{0\}$. Let $\pi \in \Delta$ be a cone of maximal dimension. We assume that the d -complex $\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Phi)^{\bullet}$ exists for $\Phi = \Delta \setminus \{\pi\}$

Let $L^{\bullet} := j_!^{\Phi} \mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Phi)^{\bullet}$. We define

$$\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)^{\bullet} := \mathrm{gt}_{\pi}^{\geq \mathbf{p}(\pi)+1} L^{\bullet} . \quad (2.54)$$

Since

$$\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)(\pi)^{\bullet} = \mathrm{gt}^{\geq \mathbf{p}(\pi)+1} L(\pi)^{\bullet} , \quad (2.55)$$

the truncation $\mathrm{gt}_{\leq \mathbf{p}(\pi)}(\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)(\pi))^{\bullet}$ is the zero complex.

On the other hand, $i_{\pi}^* \mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)^{\bullet}$ is equal to the mapping cone

$$(L(\pi)[1] \oplus \mathrm{gt}^{\geq \mathbf{p}(\pi)+1} L(\pi))^{\bullet} \quad (2.56)$$

of the natural surjection

$$L(\pi)^{\bullet} \longrightarrow \mathrm{gt}^{\geq \mathbf{p}(\pi)+1} L(\pi)^{\bullet} . \quad (2.57)$$

There exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{gt}}_{\leq \mathbf{p}(\pi)} L(\pi)^{\bullet} \longrightarrow L(\pi)^{\bullet} \longrightarrow \mathrm{gt}^{\geq \mathbf{p}(\pi)+1} L(\pi)^{\bullet} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.58)$$

of d -complexes in $\mathrm{GM}(A(\pi))$. Hence $i_{\pi}^* \mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)^{\bullet}$ is quasi-isomorphic to

$$(\widetilde{\mathrm{gt}}_{\leq \mathbf{p}(\pi)} L(\pi)[1])^{\bullet} = \widetilde{\mathrm{gt}}_{\leq \mathbf{p}(\pi)-1} (L(\pi)[1])^{\bullet} \quad (2.59)$$

and to $\mathrm{gt}_{\leq \mathbf{p}(\pi)-1} (L(\pi)[1])^{\bullet}$. Hence $\mathrm{gt}^{\geq \mathbf{p}(\pi)} i_{\pi}^* \mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)^{\bullet}$ is acyclic. This is equivalent to the condition (3).

For the last assertion, it is sufficient to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.10 *Let $\pi \neq 0$ be a maximal element of Δ and let $\Phi := \Delta \setminus \{\pi\}$. Let $L^{\bullet}, K^{\bullet}$ be objects of $\mathrm{CGEM}(\Delta)$ which satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.9, and assume that there exists a quasi-isomorphism $(L|\Phi)^{\bullet} \rightarrow (K|\Phi)^{\bullet}$. Then, $L^{\bullet}$ and $K^{\bullet}$ is connected by a finite sequence of unmixed quasi-isomorphisms.*

Proof. By the condition (2), the natural homomorphism

$$L^\bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{gt}_\pi^{\geq \mathbf{p}(\sigma)+1} L^\bullet \quad (2.60)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Since $i_\pi^*(L)^\bullet$ is the mapping cone of the homomorphism $j_!^\Phi(L|\Phi)^\bullet \rightarrow L(\pi)^\bullet$, the condition (3) implies the homomorphism

$$\mathrm{gt}_\pi^{\geq \mathbf{p}(\sigma)+1}(j_!^\Phi(L|\Phi))^\bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{gt}_\pi^{\geq \mathbf{p}(\sigma)+1} L^\bullet \quad (2.61)$$

is also a quasi-isomorphism.

There are similar quasi-isomorphisms for $K^\bullet$. We are done since the quasi-isomorphism $(L|\Phi)^\bullet \rightarrow (K|\Phi)^\bullet$ induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathrm{gt}_\pi^{\geq \mathbf{p}(\sigma)+1}(j_!^\Phi(L|\Phi))^\bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{gt}_\pi^{\geq \mathbf{p}(\sigma)+1}(j_!^\Phi(K|\Phi))^\bullet. \quad (2.62)$$

q.e.d.

Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 2.9

In the rest of this paper, we denote by $\mathrm{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Delta)^\bullet$ the d -complex constructed in the proof of the above theorem, and we call it the intersection complex of the fan Δ with the perversity $\mathbf{p}$. It does not depend on the choice of the order of the induction, and is uniquely determined by Δ and $\mathbf{p}$. If Φ is a subfan of Δ , then the construction implies that $\mathrm{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Phi)^\bullet$ is isomorphic to the restriction of $\mathrm{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Delta)^\bullet$ to Φ . In particular, $\mathrm{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Delta)(\sigma)^\bullet = \mathrm{ic}_\mathbf{p}(F(\sigma))(\sigma)^\bullet$. Hence the complex $\mathrm{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Delta)(\sigma)^\bullet$ of $A(\sigma)$ -modules depends only on $\mathbf{p}$ and σ .

Proposition 2.11 *Let σ be a nonzero cone of Δ and let $\mathbf{p}$ be a perversity on Δ . Then (1) $\mathrm{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Delta)(\sigma)_j^i = \{0\}$ unless $(i, j) \in [1, r_\sigma] \times [-r_\sigma, 0]$, (2) $i_\sigma^\circ(\mathrm{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Delta))_j^i = \{0\}$ unless $(i, j) \in [0, r_\sigma - 1] \times [-r_\sigma, 0]$ and (3) $i_\sigma^*(\mathrm{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Delta))_j^i = \{0\}$ unless $(i, j) \in [0, r_\sigma] \times [-r_\sigma, 0]$. Furthermore, $\Gamma(\mathrm{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Delta))_j^i = \{0\}$ unless $(i, j) \in [0, r] \times [-r, 0]$.*

Proof. We prove the proposition by induction on r_σ . Note that $\mathrm{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Delta)(\mathbf{0})_j^i = \{0\}$ unless $(i, j) = (0, 0)$ by the construction of $\mathrm{ic}_\mathbf{p}(\Delta)^\bullet$.

Let η, σ be cones in Δ with $\eta \prec \sigma$. Recall that, if we take an $(r_\sigma - r_\eta)$ -dimensional linear subspace H of $N(\sigma)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that $N(\sigma)_{\mathbf{Q}} = N(\eta)_{\mathbf{Q}} \oplus H$, then $V_{A(\sigma)} = V \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A(H)$ for $V \in \text{GM}(A(\eta))$. Hence, if $V_j = \{0\}$ unless $j \in [a, b]$ for integers a, b with $a \leq b$, then $(V_{A(\sigma)})_j = \{0\}$ unless $j \in [a - (r_\sigma - r_\eta), b]$.

Since

$$i_\sigma^\circ(\text{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))^i = \bigoplus_{\eta \in F(\sigma) \setminus \{\sigma\}} \text{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)(\eta)_{A(\sigma)}^i, \quad (2.63)$$

(2) is a consequence of (1) for $\eta \in F(\sigma) \setminus \{\sigma\}$ which are true by the assumption of the induction. Since

$$\text{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)(\sigma)^i = \text{gt}^{\geq \mathbf{p}(\sigma)+1}(i_\sigma^\circ \text{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)[-1])^i, \quad (2.64)$$

(1) follows from (2). Since

$$i_\sigma^*(\text{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))^i = i_\sigma^\circ(\text{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))^i \oplus \text{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)(\sigma)^i \quad (2.65)$$

for every $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, (3) follows from (1) and (2).

Since

$$\Gamma(\text{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))^i = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Delta} \text{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)(\sigma)_A^i \quad (2.66)$$

for every i , the last assertion is a consequence of (1) for all $\sigma \in \Delta \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. *q.e.d.*

Corollary 2.12 *For any perversity $\mathbf{p}$ on Δ , $\mathbf{D}(\text{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))^\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\text{ic}_{-\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)^\bullet$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $\mathbf{D}(\text{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))^\bullet$ satisfies the conditions of the theorem for the perversity $-\mathbf{p}$. (1) is satisfied since $\mathbf{D}(\text{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))(\mathbf{0})^0 = \mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{D}(\text{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))(\mathbf{0})^i = \{0\}$ for $i \neq 0$ by the definition of $\mathbf{D}$.

We check the conditions (2) and (3) for each σ in $\Delta \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Since $\mathbf{D}(\text{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))(\sigma)^\bullet = \det(\sigma) \otimes \text{D}_\sigma(i_\sigma^* \text{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))[-r_\sigma]^\bullet$, the condition (3) of the theorem and Lemma 1.6 imply that $\text{gt}_{\leq -\mathbf{p}(\sigma)}(\mathbf{D}(\text{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))(\sigma))^\bullet$ is acyclic, i.e., (2) for $-\mathbf{p}$.

By Lemma 2.2, $\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)(\sigma)^{\bullet}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)))(\sigma)^{\bullet}$. Hence $\mathrm{gt}_{\leq \mathbf{p}(\sigma)}(\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)))(\sigma))^{\bullet}$ is acyclic by (2) for $\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)(\sigma)^{\bullet}$. Since

$$\det(\sigma) \otimes \mathrm{D}_{\sigma}(\mathrm{i}_{\sigma}^* \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)))^{\bullet} = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)))(\sigma)[r_{\sigma}]^{\bullet}, \quad (2.67)$$

Lemma 1.6 implies that $\mathrm{gt}^{\geq -\mathbf{p}(\sigma)}(\mathrm{i}_{\sigma}^* \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)))^{\bullet}$ is acyclic, i.e., (3) for $-\mathbf{p}$. *q.e.d.*

Two homomorphisms $f, g : L^{\bullet} \rightarrow K^{\bullet}$ in $\mathrm{CGEM}(\Delta)$ are said to be homotopic if there exists a collection of homomorphisms $\{u^i : L^i \rightarrow K^{i-1} ; i \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ in $\mathrm{GEM}(\Delta)$ such that

$$f^i - g^i = d_K^{i-1} \cdot u^i + u^{i+1} \cdot d_L^i \quad (2.68)$$

for every $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. If f and g are homotopic, then $f(\sigma), g(\sigma) : L(\sigma)^{\bullet} \rightarrow K(\sigma)^{\bullet}$ and $\mathrm{i}_{\sigma}^*(f), \mathrm{i}_{\sigma}^*(g) : \mathrm{i}_{\sigma}^* L^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathrm{i}_{\sigma}^* K^{\bullet}$ for $\sigma \in \Delta$ as well as $\Gamma(f), \Gamma(g) : \Gamma(L)^{\bullet} \rightarrow \Gamma(K)^{\bullet}$ are homotopic as complexes in abelian categories. Actually, it is sufficient to take $\{u^i(\sigma/\sigma)\}$, $\{\mathrm{i}_{\sigma}^*(u^i)\}$ and $\{\Gamma(u^i)\}$, respectively. In particular, the maps of the cohomologies induced by the two homomorphisms of the complexes are respectively equal.

We give here some elementary lemmas on the quasi-isomorphism property in $\mathrm{CGEM}(\Delta)$.

Lemma 2.13 *Let $f_1 : L_1^{\bullet} \rightarrow L_2^{\bullet}$ be a quasi-isomorphism and $f_2 : L_1^{\bullet} \rightarrow L_3^{\bullet}$ a homomorphism in $\mathrm{CGEM}(\Delta)$. Then there exist $L_4^{\bullet}$ in $\mathrm{CGEM}(\Delta)$, a quasi-isomorphism $g_1 : L_3^{\bullet} \rightarrow L_4^{\bullet}$ and a homomorphism $g_2 : L_2^{\bullet} \rightarrow L_4^{\bullet}$ such that the homomorphisms $g_2 \cdot f_1$ and $g_1 \cdot f_2$ are homotopic. If f_2 is a quasi-isomorphism, then so is g_2 .*

Lemma 2.14 *Let $g_1 : L_3^{\bullet} \rightarrow L_4^{\bullet}$ be a quasi-isomorphism and $g_2 : L_2^{\bullet} \rightarrow L_4^{\bullet}$ a homomorphism in $\mathrm{CGEM}(\Delta)$. Then there exist $L_1^{\bullet}$ in $\mathrm{CGEM}(\Delta)$, a quasi-isomorphism $f_1 : L_1^{\bullet} \rightarrow L_2^{\bullet}$ and a homomorphism $f_2 : L_1^{\bullet} \rightarrow L_3^{\bullet}$ such that the homomorphisms $g_2 \cdot f_1$ and $g_1 \cdot f_2$ are homotopic. If g_2 is a quasi-isomorphism, then so is f_2 .*

We get these lemmas by setting $L_4^\bullet$ and $L_1^\bullet$ the mapping cones of the homomorphisms $L_1^\bullet \rightarrow L_2^\bullet \oplus L_3^\bullet$ and $L_2^\bullet \oplus L_3^\bullet \rightarrow L_4^\bullet$, respectively.

By applying these lemmas, we get the following equivalent conditions.

Lemma 2.15 *For $L^\bullet, K^\bullet$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) $L^\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic to $K^\bullet$.
- (2) There exists $J^\bullet$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$ and quasi-isomorphisms $L^\bullet \rightarrow J^\bullet$ and $K^\bullet \rightarrow J^\bullet$.
- (3) There exists $I^\bullet$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$ and quasi-isomorphisms $I^\bullet \rightarrow L^\bullet$ and $I^\bullet \rightarrow K^\bullet$.

Lemma 2.16 *Let $f_1 : L_1^\bullet \rightarrow K_1^\bullet$ be a homomorphism in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$. Assume that $L_2^\bullet, K_2^\bullet$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$ are quasi-isomorphic to $L_1^\bullet$ and $K_1^\bullet$, respectively. Then there exist $K_3^\bullet$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$, a quasi-isomorphism $K_2^\bullet \rightarrow K_3^\bullet$ and a homomorphism $f_2 : L_2^\bullet \rightarrow K_3^\bullet$ such that the diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(\Gamma(L_1)^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\Gamma(K_1)^\bullet) \\ \wr & & \wr \\ H^i(\Gamma(L_2)^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\Gamma(K_3)^\bullet) \end{array} \quad (2.69)$$

of the cohomologies are commutative for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$.

There exist also $L_3^\bullet$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$, a quasi-isomorphism $L_3^\bullet \rightarrow L_2^\bullet$ and a homomorphism $f_3 : L_3^\bullet \rightarrow K_2^\bullet$ with the similar compatibility with f_1 .

Proof. By Lemma 2.15, there exists $L_0^\bullet$ and quasi-isomorphisms $g_1 : L_0^\bullet \rightarrow L_1^\bullet$ and $g_2 : L_0^\bullet \rightarrow L_2^\bullet$. By applying Lemma 2.14 for $f_1 \cdot g_1$ and g_2 , we get $K_0^\bullet$ with a quasi-isomorphism $K_1^\bullet \rightarrow K_0^\bullet$ and a homomorphism $h_0 : L_2^\bullet \rightarrow K_0^\bullet$ with the compatibility condition. Since $K_0^\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic to $K_2^\bullet$, there exists $K_3^\bullet$ and quasi-isomorphisms $h_1 : K_0^\bullet \rightarrow K_3^\bullet$ and $K_2^\bullet \rightarrow K_3^\bullet$ by Lemma 2.15. It is sufficient to set $f_3 := h_1 \cdot h_0$.

The second assertion is proved similarly.

q.e.d.

3 The algebraic theory on toric varieties

In this section, we construct a functor from the category of graded exterior modules to that of complexes on the toric variety associated to the fan. For a finite fan Δ , we denote by $Z(\Delta)$ the associated toric variety defined over $\mathbf{Q}$ (cf.[O1]).

We start with the case of an affine toric variety. Assume that Δ is $F(\pi)$, i.e. the set of all faces of a cone π in $N_{\mathbf{R}}$.

We denote by $\mathbf{Q}[M]$ the group ring $\bigoplus_{m \in M} \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{e}(m)$ defined by $\mathbf{e}(m)\mathbf{e}(m') = \mathbf{e}(m+m')$ for $m, m' \in M$ and $\mathbf{e}(0) = 1$. This $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra has a grading in the free $\mathbf{Z}$ -module M . For a subset U of M , we denote $\mathbf{Q}[U] := \bigoplus_{m \in U} \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{e}(m)$. Note that $1 \notin \mathbf{Q}[U]$ if $0 \notin U$.

For the subsemigroup $M \cap \pi^\vee \subset M$, we denote by $S(\pi)$ the M -graded $\mathbf{Q}$ -subalgebra $\mathbf{Q}[M \cap \pi^\vee]$ of $\mathbf{Q}[M]$. Then the affine toric variety $Z(F(\pi))$ is equal to $\text{Spec } S(\pi)$. The algebraic torus T_N is equal to $\text{Spec } \mathbf{Q}[M]$ and the reduced complement $Z(F(\pi)) \setminus T_N$ is defined by the ideal $J(\pi) := \mathbf{Q}[M \cap \text{int } \pi^\vee]$.

The *logarithmic de Rham complex* $\Omega_{S(\pi)}(\log J(\pi))^\bullet$ is defined as follows.

We set

$$\Omega_{S(\pi)}(\log J(\pi))^1 := S(\pi) \otimes M \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\Omega_{S(\pi)}(\log J(\pi))^i := \bigwedge^i \Omega_{S(\pi)}(\log J(\pi))^1 = S(\pi) \otimes \bigwedge^i M \quad (3.2)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq r$, where the exterior powers are taken as an $S(\pi)$ -module and as a $\mathbf{Z}$ -module, respectively. These are clearly free $S(\pi)$ -modules. By the notation $A^* = A(M_{\mathbf{Q}}) = \bigwedge^\bullet M_{\mathbf{Q}}$, the direct sum $\bigoplus_{i=0}^r \Omega_{S(\pi)}(\log J(\pi))^i$ is equal to the M -graded free $S(\pi)$ -module

$$S(\pi) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A^* = \bigoplus_{m \in M \cap \pi^\vee} \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{e}(m) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A^*. \quad (3.3)$$

The $\mathbf{Q}$ -endomorphism ∂ of this $S(\pi)$ -module is defined to be the M -homogeneous morphism such that the restriction to the component $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{e}(m) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A^*$ is $1 \otimes d_m$ for

each $m \in M \cap \pi^\vee$, where d_m is the left multiplication of m . Since $\partial(\Omega_{S(\pi)}(\log J(\pi))^i) \subset \Omega_{S(\pi)}(\log J(\pi))^{i+1}$ for each i , $\Omega_{S(\pi)}(\log J(\pi))^\bullet$ is a ∂ -complex of M -graded $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector spaces.

For each face σ of π , $\pi^\vee \cap \sigma^\perp$ is a face of the dual cone $\pi^\vee \subset M_{\mathbf{R}}$. Furthermore, it is known that the correspondence $\sigma \mapsto \pi^\vee \cap \sigma^\perp$ defines a bijection from $F(\pi)$ to $F(\pi^\vee)$ [O1, Prop.A.6].

For each $\sigma \in F(\pi)$, we denote by $P(\pi; \sigma)$ the M -homogeneous prime ideal

$$\mathbf{Q}[M \cap (\pi^\vee \setminus \sigma^\perp)] = \bigoplus_{m \in M \cap (\pi^\vee \setminus \sigma^\perp)} \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{e}(m) \quad (3.4)$$

of $S(\pi)$. The M -homogeneous quotient ring $S(\pi)/P(\pi; \sigma)$ is denoted by $S(\pi; \sigma)$. We denote the image of $\mathbf{e}(m)$ in $S(\pi; \sigma)$ for $m \in M \cap \pi^\vee \cap \sigma^\perp$ also by $\mathbf{e}(m)$. Since $M[\sigma] = M \cap \sigma^\perp$, we have a description $S(\pi; \sigma) = \bigoplus_{m \in M[\sigma] \cap \pi^\vee} \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{e}(m)$.

Let $J(\pi; \sigma)$ be the ideal $\mathbf{Q}[M[\sigma] \cap \text{rel.int}(\pi^\vee \cap \sigma^\perp)]$ of $S(\pi; \sigma)$. The ∂ -complex $\Omega_{S(\pi; \sigma)}(\log J(\pi; \sigma))^\bullet$ is defined to be $S(\pi; \sigma) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A^*[\sigma]$ with the M -homogeneous $\mathbf{Q}$ -homomorphism ∂ defined similarly as above, where $A^*[\sigma] = \bigwedge^\bullet M[\sigma]_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Note that $\Omega_{S(\pi; \mathbf{0})}(\log J(\pi; \mathbf{0}))^\bullet$ is equal to $\Omega_{S(\pi)}(\log J(\pi))^\bullet$.

We denote by $\text{Coh}(S(\pi))$ the category:

object: A finitely generated M -graded $S(\pi)$ -module.

morphism: An M -homogeneous $S(\pi)$ -homomorphism of M -degree zero.

A $\mathbf{Q}$ -homomorphism $\delta : F \rightarrow G$ of $S(\pi)$ -modules is said to be a *differential operator of order one* if the map $(\delta \cdot f - f \cdot \delta) : F \rightarrow G$ defined by $(\delta \cdot f - f \cdot \delta)(x) := \delta(fx) - f(\delta(x))$ is an $S(\pi)$ -homomorphism for every $f \in S(\pi)$. We denote by $\text{CCohDiff}(S(\pi))$ the category:

object: A finite ∂ -complex $F^\bullet$ such that F^i 's are in $\text{Coh}(S(\pi))$ and ∂ is M -homogeneous of M -degree zero and is a differential operator of order one.

morphism: An M -homogeneous $S(\pi)$ -homomorphism of M -degree zero.

We construct a functor $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}$ from the category $\text{GEM}(F(\pi))$ of graded exterior modules on $F(\pi)$ to this category $\text{CCohDiff}(S(\pi))$.

Let ρ be a cone in $F(\pi)$. Recall that an object V of $\text{GM}(A(\rho))$ is a finitely generated graded $A(\rho)$ -module and the A -module V_A has a structure of a free $A^*[\rho]$ -module (cf. Lemma 1.3). Each $m \in M[\rho] = M \cap \rho^\perp$ is a homogeneous element of $A^*[\rho]$ of degree one. We denote by d_m the left operation of m on V_A . Then $d_m^2 = 0$ since $m \wedge m = 0$. For each $m \in M[\rho]$, we denote by $V_A(m)^\bullet$ the ∂ -complex defined by $V_A(m)^i := (V_A)_i$ for each $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\partial := d_m$.

We set $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(V)^i := S(\pi; \rho) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} (V_A)_i$ for each integer i . We define the ∂ -complex $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(V)^\bullet$ by

$$\Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(V)^\bullet := S(\pi; \rho) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} V_A = \bigoplus_{m \in M[\rho] \cap \pi^\vee} \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{e}(m) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} V_A(m)^\bullet, \quad (3.5)$$

i.e., the its m -component of ∂ is $1_{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{e}(m)} \otimes d_m$ for every $m \in M[\rho] \cap \pi^\vee$.

In order to check that ∂ is a differential operator of order one, it is sufficient to show the $S(\pi; \rho)$ -linearity of $\partial \cdot \mathbf{e}(m_0) - \mathbf{e}(m_0) \cdot \partial$ for $m_0 \in M \cap \pi^\vee$. Since $P(\pi; \rho)$ is the annihilator of $S(\pi; \rho)$, $\partial \cdot \mathbf{e}(m_0) - \mathbf{e}(m_0) \cdot \partial = 0$ if $m_0 \notin M[\rho]$. Assume $m_0, m_1 \in M[\rho] \cap \pi^\vee$ and $x \in V_A$. For any $m \in M[\rho] \cap \pi^\vee$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial \cdot \mathbf{e}(m_0) - \mathbf{e}(m_0) \cdot \partial)(\mathbf{e}(m)\mathbf{e}(m_1) \otimes x) \\ &= \partial(\mathbf{e}(m + m_0 + m_1) \otimes x) - \mathbf{e}(m_0)\partial(\mathbf{e}(m + m_1) \otimes x) \\ &= \mathbf{e}(m + m_0 + m_1) \otimes (m + m_0 + m_1)x - \mathbf{e}(m + m_0 + m_1) \otimes (m + m_1)x \\ &= \mathbf{e}(m + m_0 + m_1) \otimes m_0x \\ &= \mathbf{e}(m + m_0 + m_1) \otimes (m_0 + m_1)x - \mathbf{e}(m + m_0 + m_1) \otimes m_1x \\ &= \mathbf{e}(m)(\partial \cdot \mathbf{e}(m_0) - \mathbf{e}(m_0) \cdot \partial)(\mathbf{e}(m_1) \otimes x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\partial \cdot \mathbf{e}(m_0) - \mathbf{e}(m_0) \cdot \partial$ is an $S(\pi; \rho)$ -homomorphism.

Proposition 3.1 *Let ρ be in $F(\pi)$ and V in $\text{GM}(A(\rho))$. Then $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(V)^\bullet$ is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of subcomplexes which are isomorphic to dimension shifts of $\Omega_{S(\pi;\rho)}(\log J(\rho))^\bullet$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.3, V_A is a free $A^*[\rho]$ -module. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ be a homogeneous basis. By the definition of ∂ , the decomposition

$$\Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(V)^\bullet = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s S(\pi; \rho) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A^*[\rho]x_i \quad (3.6)$$

is a direct sum of subcomplexes. Furthermore, there exists an isomorphism

$$\Omega_{S(\pi;\rho)}(\log J(\rho))[-\deg x_i]^\bullet \longrightarrow (S(\pi; \rho) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A^*[\rho]x_i)^\bullet \quad (3.7)$$

for each i .

q.e.d.

For a homomorphism $f : V \rightarrow W$ in $\text{GM}(A(\rho))$, the $S(\pi)$ -homomorphism $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(f) : \Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(V)^\bullet \rightarrow \Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(W)^\bullet$ of ∂ -complexes is defined by $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(f) = 1_{S(\pi)} \otimes f_A$. Since f_A is an $A^*[\rho]$ -homomorphism, $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(f)$ commutes with the coboundary maps of $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(V)^\bullet$ and $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(W)^\bullet$.

Proposition 3.2 *Let σ, ρ be cones in $F(\pi)$ with $\sigma \prec \rho$. For V in $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$, there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$\Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\sigma(V)^\bullet \otimes_{S(\pi;\sigma)} S(\pi; \rho) \simeq \Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(V_{A(\rho)})^\bullet \quad (3.8)$$

of M -graded ∂ -complexes.

Proof. $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\sigma(V)^\bullet \otimes_{S(\pi;\sigma)} S(\pi; \rho)$ is actually an M -graded ∂ -complex since it is the quotient of $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\sigma(V)^\bullet$ by the M -homogeneous subcomplex $P(\pi; \rho)\Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\sigma(V)^\bullet$. Both sides are equal to $S(\pi; \rho) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} V_A$ by the identification $(V_{A(\rho)})_A = V_A$. The differential operators commute with the identification since the m -components of them for $m \in M[\rho] \cap \pi^\vee$ are both the operation d_m . *q.e.d.*

For L in $\text{GEM}(F(\pi))$, we define the ∂ -complex $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}(L)^\bullet$ in $\text{CCohDiff}(S(\pi))$ by

$$\Lambda_{S(\pi)}(L)^\bullet := \bigoplus_{\sigma \in F(\pi)} \Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(L(\rho))^\bullet, \quad (3.9)$$

where the coboundary map ∂ is also defined as the direct sum.

Let $f : L \rightarrow K$ be a morphism in $\text{GEM}(F(\pi))$. We define an M -homogeneous $S(\pi)$ -homomorphism $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}(f) : \Lambda_{S(\pi)}(L)^\bullet \rightarrow \Lambda_{S(\pi)}(K)^\bullet$ of ∂ -complexes as follows.

For $\sigma, \rho \in F(\pi)$, the (σ, ρ) -component of the morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_{S(\pi)}(f) : & \Lambda_{S(\pi)}(L)^\bullet & \longrightarrow \Lambda_{S(\pi)}(K)^\bullet \\ & \parallel & \parallel \\ & \bigoplus_{\sigma \in F(\pi)} \Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\sigma(L(\sigma))^\bullet & \bigoplus_{\rho \in F(\pi)} \Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(K(\rho))^\bullet \end{array} \quad (3.10)$$

is defined to be the composite of the natural surjection

$$\Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\sigma(L(\sigma))^\bullet \rightarrow \Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\sigma(L(\sigma))^\bullet \otimes_{S(\pi; \sigma)} S(\pi; \rho) = \Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(L(\sigma)_{A(\rho)})^\bullet \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$1_{S(\pi; \rho)} \otimes f(\sigma/\rho)_A : \Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(L(\sigma)_{A(\rho)})^\bullet \longrightarrow \Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(K(\rho))^\bullet \quad (3.12)$$

if $\sigma \prec \rho$ and is defined to be the zero map otherwise. Then $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}$ is a covariant functor from $\text{GEM}(\Delta)$ to $\text{CCohDiff}(S(\pi))$.

Let k be a field. For a topological space X , we denote by k_X the sheaf of rings with the constant stalk k . A k_X -module on X is called a k -sheaf and a k_X -homomorphism of k_X -modules is called simply a k -homomorphism. In this paper, we treat only the cases $k = \mathbf{Q}$ and $k = \mathbf{C}$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_X$ be a sheaf of commutative k -algebras. A k -homomorphism $f : F \rightarrow G$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules F, G is said to be a differential operator of order one if the k -homomorphism $f(U) : F(U) \rightarrow G(U)$ is a differential operator of order one of $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -modules for every open subset $U \subset X$.

For a finitely generated $S(\pi)$ -module E , we denote by $\mathcal{F}(E)$ the associated coherent sheaf on the affine scheme $\text{Spec } S(\pi)$. If $d : E \rightarrow G$ is a differential operator of

order one of finitely generated $S(\pi)$ -modules, then it defines a differential operator $\mathcal{F}(d) : \mathcal{F}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(G)$ of order one of $\mathcal{O}_{Z(F(\pi))}$ -modules on the affine toric variety $Z(F(\pi))$. If $(E^\bullet, \partial = (\partial_E^i))$ is a ∂ -complex such that each E^i is a finitely generated $S(\pi)$ -module and ∂ is a differential operator of order one, then we denote by $\mathcal{F}(E)^\bullet$ the ∂ -complex on $Z(F(\pi))$ with the coboundary map $\partial = (\mathcal{F}(\partial_E^i))$.

Let μ be an element of $F(\pi)$. Take an element $m \in M \cap \text{rel.int}(\pi^\vee \cap \mu^\perp)$. Since $\mu^\vee = \pi^\vee + \mathbf{R}(-m)$ [O1, Cor.A.7], $S(\mu) := \mathbf{Q}[M \cap \mu^\vee]$ is equal to the localization $S(\pi)[\mathbf{e}(m)^{-1}]$. For any $\rho \in F(\pi)$, we see easily that $S(\pi; \rho) \otimes_{S(\pi)} S(\mu)$ is equal to $S(\mu; \rho)$ if $\rho \prec \mu$ and is $\{0\}$ otherwise.

Lemma 3.3 *Let μ be an element of $F(\pi)$. For $\rho \in F(\mu)$ and V in $\text{GM}(A(\rho))$, the localization $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(V)^\bullet \otimes_{S(\pi)} S(\mu)$ is equal to $\Lambda_{S(\mu)}^\rho(V)^\bullet$.*

For L in $\text{GEM}(F(\pi))$, the localization $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}(L)^\bullet \otimes_{S(\pi)} S(\mu)$ of ∂ -complex is equal to $\Lambda_{S(\mu)}(L|F(\mu))^\bullet$, where $L|F(\mu)$ is the restriction of L to $F(\mu)$.

Proof. The first equality is clear as $S(\mu)$ -modules. The coboundary maps ∂ are compatible with the inclusion $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(V)^\bullet \subset \Lambda_{S(\mu)}^\rho(V)^\bullet$ since they are defined as the direct sums of $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{e}(m) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} V_A(m)^\bullet$'s. Since differential operators are extended to localizations uniquely, they are equal as ∂ -complexes.

Since $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}(L)^\bullet$ and $\Lambda_{S(\mu)}(L)^\bullet$ are defined as the direct sums of $\Lambda_{S(\pi)}^\rho(L(\rho))^\bullet$ for $\rho \in F(\pi)$ and $\Lambda_{S(\mu)}^\rho(L(\rho))^\bullet$ for $\rho \in F(\mu)$, respectively, the second assertion follows from the first. *q.e.d.*

Let Δ be a finite fan of $N_{\mathbf{R}}$. The toric variety $Z(\Delta)$ has the affine open covering $\bigcup_{\pi \in \Delta} Z(F(\pi))$. The reduced divisor $D(\Delta) := Z(\Delta) \setminus T_N$ is defined by the ideal $J(\pi) \subset S(\pi)$ on each affine open subscheme $Z(F(\pi))$.

The logarithmic de Rham complex $\Omega_{Z(\Delta)}(\log D(\Delta))^\bullet$ is defined by

$$\Omega_{Z(\Delta)}(\log D(\Delta))^\bullet := \mathcal{O}_{Z(\Delta)} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A^* . \quad (3.13)$$

We define the coboundary map $\partial = (\partial^i : i \in \mathbf{Z})$

$$\partial^i : \Omega_{Z(\Delta)}(\log D(\Delta))^i \longrightarrow \Omega_{Z(\Delta)}(\log D(\Delta))^{i+1} \quad (3.14)$$

so that the restriction to $Z(F(\pi))$ is equal to ∂ of $\mathcal{F}(\Omega_{S(\pi)}(\log J(\pi)))^\bullet$ for each $\pi \in \Delta$.

Although it is common to write a logarithmic de Rham complex as $\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)$, we put the dot at the right end as $\Omega_X(\log D)^\bullet$ for the compatibility with the other notation in this paper.

For each $\sigma \in F(\rho)$, the subscheme $\text{Spec } S(\rho; \sigma)$ of $Z(F(\rho))$ is denoted by $X(\rho; \sigma)$. In particular, $X(\rho; \rho)$ is the algebraic torus $T_{N[\rho]} := \text{Spec } \mathbf{Q}[M[\rho]]$ of dimension $r - r_\rho$.

In order to simplify the notation, we set $T := T_N$ and $T[\rho] := T_{N[\rho]}$ for each $\rho \in \Delta$.

Then the toric variety $Z(\Delta)$ is decomposed as the disjoint union

$$\bigcup_{\rho \in \Delta} T[\rho] \quad (3.15)$$

of T -orbits [O1, Prop.1.6].

For each $\sigma \in \Delta$, we denote by $X(\Delta; \sigma)$ or simply $X(\sigma)$ the union of $X(\rho; \sigma)$ for $\rho \in \Delta$ with $\sigma \prec \rho$. $X(\sigma)$ is a T -invariant irreducible closed subvariety of $Z(\Delta)$.

For each $\sigma \in \Delta$, let $N[\sigma] := N/N(\sigma)$. For each $\rho \in \Delta$ with $\sigma \prec \rho$, we denote by $\rho[\sigma]$ the image of ρ in $N[\sigma]_{\mathbf{R}} = N_{\mathbf{R}}/N(\sigma)_{\mathbf{R}}$. Then $\Delta[\sigma] := \{\rho[\sigma] : \rho \in \Delta, \sigma \prec \rho\}$ is a fan of $N[\sigma]_{\mathbf{R}}$. It is known that $X(\sigma) \subset Z(\Delta)$ is equal to the toric variety $Z(\Delta[\sigma])$ with the torus $T[\sigma]$ [O1, Cor.1.7]. The reduced complement $X(\sigma) \setminus T[\sigma]$ is denoted by $D(\Delta; \sigma)$ or $D(\sigma)$.

We define

$$\Omega_{X(\sigma)}(\log D(\sigma))^\bullet := \mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A^*[\sigma] \quad (3.16)$$

and ∂ of it is defined so that the restriction to the affine open subscheme $Z(F(\rho))$ is equal to that of $\mathcal{F}(\Omega_{S(\rho; \sigma)}(\log J(\rho; \sigma)))^\bullet$ for every $\rho \in \Delta$ with $\sigma \prec \rho$.

For each $V \in \text{GM}(A(\sigma))$, we define the ∂ -complex $\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}^\sigma(V)^\bullet$ on $Z(\Delta)$ by

$$\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}^\sigma(V)^\bullet := \mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} V_A . \quad (3.17)$$

The coboundary map ∂ is defined so that the restriction to each open set $Z(F(\rho))$ is equal to that of $\mathcal{F}(\Lambda_{S(\rho)}^\sigma(V))^\bullet$ for every $\rho \in \Delta$ with $\sigma \prec \rho$.

Note that M -gradings of $\Lambda_{S(\rho)}^\sigma(V)^\bullet$ for $\rho \in \Delta$ induce a natural T -action on the ∂ -complex $\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}^\sigma(V)^\bullet$.

The following propositions follow from Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, respectively.

Proposition 3.4 *Let ρ be in Δ and V in $\text{GM}(A(\rho))$. Then $\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}^\rho(V)^\bullet$ is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of subcomplexes which are isomorphic to dimension shifts of $\Omega_{X(\rho)}(\log D(\rho))^\bullet$.*

Proposition 3.5 *Let σ, ρ be cones in Δ with $\sigma \prec \rho$. For V in $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$, there exists a natural T -equivariant isomorphism*

$$\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}^\sigma(V)^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)}} \mathcal{O}_{X(\rho)} \simeq \Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}^\rho(V_{A(\rho)})^\bullet \quad (3.18)$$

of ∂ -complexes.

Let $V^\bullet$ be in $\text{CGM}(A(\sigma))$. Then the bicomplex $\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}^\sigma(V)^\bullet{}^\bullet$ is defined by

$$\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}^\sigma(V)^{i,j} := \Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}^\sigma(V^i)^j \quad (3.19)$$

for $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $d_1 := d$ and $d_2 := \partial$. We denote by $\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}^\sigma(V)^\bullet$ the associated single complex and by δ the coboundary map.

For each object L of $\text{GEM}(\Delta)$, we set

$$\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}(L)^\bullet := \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Delta} \Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}^\sigma(L(\sigma))^\bullet . \quad (3.20)$$

For a morphism $f : L \rightarrow K$ in $\text{GEM}(\Delta)$, the T -equivariant homomorphism

$$\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}(f) : \Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}(L)^\bullet \longrightarrow \Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}(K)^\bullet \quad (3.21)$$

is defined naturally. Then $\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}$ is a covariant functor from $\text{GEM}(\Delta)$ to the category $\text{CCohDiff}(Z(\Delta))$ which is defined naturally as the globalization of $\text{CCohDiff}(S(\pi))$.

Let $L^\bullet$ be a d -complex in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$. Then the bicomplex $\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}(L)^{\bullet,\bullet}$ is defined by

$$\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}(L)^{i,j} := \Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}(L^i)^j \quad (3.22)$$

for $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$. Note that $d_1 := d$ of this bicomplex is a $\mathcal{O}_{Z(\Delta)}$ -homomorphism and $d_2 := \partial$ is a differential operator of order one. If there is no danger of confusion, we denote by $\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}(L)^\bullet$ the associated single complex. The coboundary map, which we denote by δ , is a differential operator of order one. For each integer j , we denote by $\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}(L)_j^\bullet$ the d -complex $\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}(L)^{\bullet,j}$. Then $\Lambda_{Z(\Delta)}(L)_j^\bullet$ is a finite d -complex in the category of coherent $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -modules.

If the fan Δ is complete, then the toric variety $Z(\Delta)$ is complete. For the functor $\Gamma : \text{GEM}(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{GM}(A)$ defined in Section 1, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6 *Assume that Δ is a complete fan. Let $L^\bullet$ be an object of $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$. For any integers p, q , we have an isomorphism*

$$H^p(Z(\Delta), \Lambda_Z(L)_q^\bullet) \simeq H^p(\Gamma(L)^\bullet)_q \quad (3.23)$$

of finite dimensional $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector spaces, where the lefthand side is the hypercohomology group of the complex of coherent sheaves on $Z(\Delta)$.

Proof. For each $\sigma \in \Delta$, we have $H^0(X(\sigma), \mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)}) = \mathbf{Q}$ and $H^p(X(\sigma), \mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)}) = \{0\}$ for $p > 0$, since $X(\sigma)$ is a complete toric variety [O1, Cor.2.8]. Since $\Lambda_Z(L)_q^p = \Lambda_Z(L)^{p,q}$ is a direct sum for $\sigma \in \Delta$ of free $\mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)}$ -modules for every $p \in \mathbf{Z}$, the

hypercohomology $H^p(Z(\Delta), \Lambda_Z(L)_q^\bullet)$ is equal to the p -th cohomology of the complex $\Gamma(Z, \Lambda_Z(L)_q)^\bullet$ of $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector spaces. For any $\sigma \in \Delta$ and $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have

$$\Gamma(Z, \Lambda_Z^\sigma(L(\sigma))_q)^p = (L(\sigma)_A^p)_q. \quad (3.24)$$

Hence $\Gamma(Z, \Lambda_Z(L)_q)^\bullet$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma(L)_q^\bullet$ as a complex of $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector spaces. *q.e.d.*

4 The analytic theory on toric varieties

For any $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra B and any $\mathbf{Q}$ -scheme X , we denote by $B_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $X_{\mathbf{C}}$ the scalar extensions $B \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}$ and $X \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbf{Q}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{C}$, respectively.

When X is of finite type over $\mathbf{Q}$, we denote by X_{h} the analytic space associated to the algebraic $\mathbf{C}$ -scheme $X_{\mathbf{C}}$ [H, Chap.1, §6]. For a coherent sheaf F on X , the pulled-back coherent sheaf on $X_{\mathbf{C}}$ and the associated analytic coherent sheaf on X_{h} are denoted by $F_{\mathbf{C}}$ and F_{h} , respectively. Let $f : F \rightarrow G$ be a differential operator of order one over $\mathbf{Q}$. Then it is easy to see that the $\mathbf{C}$ -homomorphism $f_{\mathbf{C}} : F_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}$ on $X_{\mathbf{C}}$ obtained by scalar extension is a differential operator of order one over $\mathbf{C}$. By [EGA4, 16.8], the differential operator $f_{\mathbf{C}} : F_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}$ is decomposed uniquely to $u \cdot d_{X_{\mathbf{C}}}^1$ where $d_{X_{\mathbf{C}}}^1 : F \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{X_{\mathbf{C}}}^1(F)$ is a canonical $\mathbf{C}$ -homomorphism [EGA4, 16.7.5] and $u : \mathcal{P}_{X_{\mathbf{C}}}^1(F) \rightarrow G$ is a $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbf{C}}}$ -homomorphism. For the definition of $\mathcal{P}_{X_{\mathbf{C}}}^1(F)$, see [EGA4]. Since these homomorphisms are canonically pulled-back to X_{h} , we get a differential operator $f_{\text{h}} : F_{\text{h}} \rightarrow G_{\text{h}}$ of order one of the analytic coherent sheaves on X_{h} .

Let ρ be a cone in $N_{\mathbf{R}}$. By the notation of the scalar extensions,

$$S(\rho)_{\mathbf{C}} = S(\rho) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}[M \cap \rho^\vee] \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$S(\rho; \sigma)_{\mathbf{C}} = S(\rho; \sigma) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}[M[\sigma] \cap \rho^\vee] \quad (4.2)$$

for each $\sigma \in F(\rho)$. Since $S(\rho; \sigma) = S(\rho)/P(\rho; \sigma)$, $S(\rho; \sigma)_{\mathbf{C}}$ is the quotient of $S(\rho)_{\mathbf{C}}$ by the prime ideal $P(\rho; \sigma)_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C}[M \cap (\rho^\vee \setminus \sigma^\perp)]$.

We fix a finite fan Δ of $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ in this section.

We denote simply by Z the toric variety $Z(\Delta)$. Since Z is normal, so are the toric variety $Z_{\mathbf{C}} = Z(\Delta)_{\mathbf{C}}$ over $\mathbf{C}$ and the analytic space $Z_{\mathbf{h}} = Z(\Delta)_{\mathbf{h}}$. For each $\sigma \in \Delta$, a T -invariant irreducible closed subvariety $X(\sigma)$ of Z was defined in Section 3. Hence $X(\sigma)_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $X(\sigma)_{\mathbf{h}}$ are irreducible closed subvarieties of $Z_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $Z_{\mathbf{h}}$, respectively.

Let n be an element of N . The group homomorphism $M \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ defined by $m \mapsto \langle m, n \rangle$ induces a homomorphism of group rings $\mathbf{C}[M] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ and the associated morphism $\lambda_n : \text{Spec } \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow T_{\mathbf{C}} = \text{Spec } \mathbf{C}[M]$, where t is the monomial corresponding to $1 \in \mathbf{Z}$. We call λ_n the *one-parameter subgroup* associated to n [O1, 1.2]. We denote also by λ_n the associated map $\mathbf{C}^* \rightarrow T_{\mathbf{h}}$ of complex Lie groups. If $n \in N \cap \rho$ for a cone $\rho \in \Delta$, then $\mathbf{C}[M \cap \rho^\vee]$ is mapped to $\mathbf{C}[t]$. Hence the one-parameter subgroup is extended to a regular map $\lambda_n : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow Z(F(\rho))_{\mathbf{C}} \subset Z_{\mathbf{C}}$, uniquely.

For each $m \in M$, the monomial $\mathbf{e}(m) \in \mathbf{C}[M]$ is regarded as a character $T_{\mathbf{h}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$. The composite $\mathbf{e}(m) \cdot \lambda_n : \mathbf{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$ of λ_n and $\mathbf{e}(m)$ is equal to the map $t \mapsto t^{\langle m, n \rangle}$.

Since $Z_{\mathbf{C}}$ is a toric variety, the group $T_{\mathbf{h}}$ acts on $Z_{\mathbf{h}}$ analytically. For $a \in T_{\mathbf{h}}$ and $x \in Z_{\mathbf{h}}$, we denote by ax the corresponding point of $Z_{\mathbf{h}}$ by the action.

Let f be a complex analytic function on an open subset U of $Z_{\mathbf{h}}$. For each $n \in N$, the derivation $\partial_n f$ of f is defined by

$$\partial_n f(x) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=1} f(\lambda_n(t)x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{f(x) - f(\lambda_n(t)x)}{1 - t} \quad (4.3)$$

for $x \in U$. Since $f(\lambda_n(t)x)$ is analytic in the variables x, t , the function $\partial_n f$ is analytic on U . Hence we get a $\mathbf{C}$ -derivation $\partial_n : \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathbf{h}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathbf{h}}}$ of the structure sheaf.

For each $\sigma \in \Delta$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}(\sigma)_{\mathbf{h}}$ the ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathbf{h}}}$ defining $X(\sigma)_{\mathbf{h}} \subset Z_{\mathbf{h}}$. If f is in $\mathcal{P}(\sigma)_{\mathbf{h}}(U)$, then so is $\partial_n f$ since f is zero on $X(\sigma) \cap U$ and $X(\sigma)_{\mathbf{h}}$ is closed

by the action of λ_n .

Lemma 4.1 *Let σ be an element of Δ and let y be a point in $X(\sigma)_h$. If n is in $N \cap \text{rel.int } \sigma$, then the endomorphism $(\partial_n)_y$ of the stalk $(\mathcal{P}(\sigma)_h)_y$ is an automorphism as a $\mathbf{C}$ -vector space.*

Proof. Let $U \subset Z_h$ be an open neighborhood of y such that $\lambda_n(t)U \subset U$ for every t with $|t| \leq 1$. For $g \in \mathcal{P}(\sigma)_h(U)$, we define

$$f(x) := \int_0^1 \frac{g(\lambda_n(s)x)}{s} ds, \quad (4.4)$$

where the integration is taken on the real interval $[0, 1]$. Since $\lambda_n(0)x$ is in $X(\sigma)_h$, the analytic function $g(\lambda_n(s)x)$ on $U \times \{s; |s| \leq 1\}$ has zero at the divisor $(s = 0)$. Hence $g(\lambda_n(s)x)/s$ is an analytic function. Hence the integral f is an analytic function on U . By the definition, we have

$$f(\lambda_n(t)x) = \int_0^1 \frac{g(\lambda_n(st)x)}{s} ds = \int_0^t \frac{g(\lambda_n(u)x)}{u} du. \quad (4.5)$$

Hence $\partial_n f = g$. This implies that $\partial_n : \mathcal{P}(\sigma)_h(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\sigma)_h(U)$ is surjective.

For $f \in \mathcal{P}(\sigma)_h(U)$, suppose that $\partial_n f = 0$. Then $(d/dt)f(\lambda_n(t)x) = 0$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and we have $f(x) = f(\lambda_n(0)x) = 0$. Since ∂_n is $\mathbf{C}$ -linear, it is also injective.

Since U 's with this property form a fundamental system of neighborhood of y , $\partial_n : \mathcal{P}(\sigma)_h \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\sigma)_h$ is isomorphic at the stalk of y . *q.e.d.*

Let $D = D(\Delta)$ be the complementary reduced divisor of T in Z . We set

$$\Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h)^1 := \Omega_Z(\log D)_h^1 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_h} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M. \quad (4.6)$$

We define the $\mathbf{C}$ -derivation $\partial : \mathcal{O}_{Z_h} \rightarrow \Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h)^1$ as follows.

Let n, n' be elements of N . The equality $\lambda_{n+n'}(t) = \lambda_n(t)\lambda_{n'}(t)$ holds for $t \in \mathbf{C}^*$. For an analytic function f on an open subset U of Z_h , we have

$$\partial_{n+n'} f(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{f(x) - f(\lambda_n(t)\lambda_{n'}(t)x)}{1-t} \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{f(x) - f(\lambda_n(t)x)}{1-t} + \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{f(\lambda_n(t)x) - f(\lambda_{n'}(t)\lambda_n(t)x)}{1-t} \\
&= \partial_n f(x) + \partial_{n'} f(x)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in U$. Hence the map $n \mapsto \partial_n f \in \mathcal{O}_{Z_h}(U)$ is a homomorphism, i.e., an element of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(N, \mathcal{O}_{Z_h}(U))$. We define ∂f to be the corresponding element of $\Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h)(U)^1 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_h}(U) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M$.

Let m and n be elements of M and N , respectively. Since the character $\mathbf{e}(m) : T_h \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$ is a homomorphism,

$$\mathbf{e}(m)(\lambda_n(t)x) = \mathbf{e}(m)(\lambda_n(t)) \cdot \mathbf{e}(m)(x) = t^{\langle m, n \rangle} \cdot \mathbf{e}(m)(x). \quad (4.7)$$

Hence $\partial_n \mathbf{e}(m) = \langle m, n \rangle \mathbf{e}(m)$ for every $n \in N$. This implies $\partial \mathbf{e}(m) = \mathbf{e}(m) \otimes m$. Hence we denote the global section $1 \otimes m$ of $\Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h)^1$ by $\partial \mathbf{e}(m)/\mathbf{e}(m)$ for every $m \in M$, however it is common to write it by $d\mathbf{e}(m)/\mathbf{e}(m)$.

Let $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$ be a $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis of M and let $\{n_1, \dots, n_r\}$ be the dual basis of N . If we write $\partial f = \sum a_i \otimes m_i$, we have $a_i = \langle \partial f, n_i \rangle = \partial_{n_i} f$ for each i . Hence

$$\partial f = \sum_{i=1}^r \partial_{n_i} f \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}(m_i)}{\mathbf{e}(m_i)}. \quad (4.8)$$

In particular ∂ is a $\mathbf{C}$ -derivation.

Since $\mathbf{e}(m)$'s form a $\mathbf{C}$ -basis of the coordinate ring of each affine toric variety, we know that this $\mathbf{C}$ -derivation ∂ is compatible with the algebraic $\mathbf{C}$ -derivation $\partial : \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathbf{C}}} \rightarrow \Omega_{Z_{\mathbf{C}}}(\log D_{\mathbf{C}})^1$.

For each $0 \leq i \leq r$ we set

$$\Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h)^i := \bigwedge^i \Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h)^1 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_h} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \bigwedge^i M. \quad (4.9)$$

Since $\Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h)^1$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{Z_h}$ -module of rank r , $\Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h)^i$ is free of rank rC_i .

For $0 < i < r$, we define a pairing

$$\mathcal{O}_{Z_h}(U) \times \bigwedge^i M \longrightarrow \Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h)^{i+1}(U) \quad (4.10)$$

by $(f, w) \mapsto \partial f \wedge w$ which induces a $\mathbf{C}$ -homomorphism

$$\partial : \Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h)^i \rightarrow \Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h)^{i+1} \quad (4.11)$$

of sheaves which we denote also by ∂ . We check easily that $\partial \cdot \partial = 0$, and we get a ∂ -complex $\Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h)^\bullet$ which we call the *logarithmic de Rham complex* on Z_h . For any $\sigma \in \Delta$, we see easily by the description (4.8) of ∂f that $\mathcal{P}(\sigma)_h \Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h)^\bullet$ is a subcomplex of $\Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h)^\bullet$.

For each $\sigma \in \Delta$, we denote by $\bar{I}_\sigma$ the closed immersion $X(\sigma)_h \rightarrow Z_h$ and by I_σ the immersion $T[\sigma]_h \rightarrow Z_h$. For a $\mathbf{C}$ -sheaf F on Z_h , we denote by $\bar{I}_\sigma^* F$ and $I_\sigma^* F$ the pull-back of F to $X(\sigma)_h$ and $T[\sigma]_h$, respectively. Note that, even if F is an $\mathcal{O}_{Z_h}$ -module, the pull-back is taken as a $\mathbf{C}$ -sheaf.

Lemma 4.2 *For every $\sigma \in \Delta$, the ∂ -complex $\bar{I}_\sigma^*(\mathcal{P}(\sigma)_h \Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h))^\bullet$ on $X(\sigma)_h$ is homotopically equivalent to the zero complex.*

Proof. We have to show that the identity map of this ∂ -complex is homotopic to the zero map. Take an element n_0 in $N \cap \text{rel.int } \sigma$. Let h be the $\mathcal{O}_{Z_h}$ -homomorphism of degree -1 of the graded $\mathcal{O}_{Z_h}$ -module $\Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h)^\bullet$ induced by the right interior product $i(n_0) : \wedge^\bullet M \rightarrow \wedge^\bullet M$. Then the $\mathbf{C}$ -homomorphism $h \cdot \partial + \partial \cdot h$ on $\Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h)^\bullet = \mathcal{O}_{Z_h} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \wedge^\bullet M$ is equal to $\partial_{n_0} \otimes 1$ (cf. [D1, 13.4]). By Lemma 4.1, this induces an automorphism of $\bar{I}_\sigma^*(\mathcal{P}(\sigma)_h \Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h))^\bullet$ as a $\mathbf{C}$ -sheaf. Let u be the inverse isomorphism of $\bar{I}_\sigma^*(h \cdot \partial + \partial \cdot h)$. Since

$$(h \cdot \partial + \partial \cdot h) \cdot \partial = \partial \cdot (h \cdot \partial + \partial \cdot h) = \partial \cdot h \cdot \partial, \quad (4.12)$$

we have $\bar{I}_\sigma^* \partial \cdot u = u \cdot \bar{I}_\sigma^* \partial = u \cdot I_\sigma^*(\partial \cdot h \cdot \partial) \cdot u$. Set $h' := u \cdot \bar{I}_\sigma^* h$. Then we have

$$h' \cdot \bar{I}_\sigma^* \partial + \bar{I}_\sigma^* \partial \cdot h' = u \cdot I_\sigma^*(h \cdot \partial + \partial \cdot h) = 1. \quad (4.13)$$

q.e.d.

Let σ be an element of Δ . We set $N[\sigma] := N/(N \cap (\sigma + (-\sigma)))$. This is naturally the dual $\mathbf{Z}$ -module of $M[\sigma] = M \cap \sigma^\perp$. It is known that the closed subscheme $X(\sigma)$ of Z is naturally identified with the toric variety $Z(\Delta[\sigma])$ with the torus $T[\sigma]$ [O1, Cor.1.7]. Furthermore, the action of $T[\sigma]$ on $Z(\Delta[\sigma])$ is equivariant with that of T with respect to the natural surjection $T \rightarrow T[\sigma]$.

We set $D(\sigma)_h := X(\sigma)_h \setminus T[\sigma]_h$ and

$$\Omega_{X(\sigma)_h}(\log D(\sigma)_h)^\bullet := \mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)_h} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \bigwedge^\bullet M[\sigma]. \quad (4.14)$$

Then $\Omega_{X(\sigma)_h}(\log D(\sigma)_h)^\bullet$ has the ∂ -complex structure so that it is identified with the logarithmic de Rham complex of $Z(\Delta[\sigma])_h$ similarly as $\Omega_{Z_h}(\log D_h)^\bullet$ for $Z_h = Z(\Delta)_h$.

Lemma 4.3 *Let σ be an element of Δ . For $\rho \in \Delta$ with $\sigma \prec \rho$, the ∂ -complex $\bar{I}_\rho^*(\mathcal{P}(\rho)_h \Omega_{X(\sigma)_h}(\log D(\sigma)_h)^\bullet)$ of $\mathbf{C}$ -sheaves on $X(\rho)_h$ is homotopically equivalent to the zero complex.*

Proof. For the toric variety $X(\sigma)_h = Z(\Delta[\sigma])_h$, $X(\rho)_h$ is the closed subvariety associated to $\rho[\sigma] \in \Delta[\sigma]$. The closed subvariety $X(\rho)_h$ of $X(\sigma)_h$ is defined by the image of $\mathcal{P}(\rho)_h$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)_h}$. Hence, this is a consequence of Lemma 4.2 applied to the toric variety $Z(\Delta[\sigma])_h$. *q.e.d.*

Let ρ be an element of Δ and V an object of $\text{GM}(A(\rho))$. Then the ∂ -complex $\Lambda_Z^\rho(V)^\bullet$ defined in Section 3 induces a ∂ -complex $\Lambda_{Z_{\mathbf{C}}}^\rho(V)^\bullet$ and its analytic version $\Lambda_{Z_h}^\rho(V)^\bullet$. By Proposition 3.1, $\Lambda_{Z_h}^\rho(V)^\bullet$ is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of dimension shifts of $\Omega_{X(\rho)_h}(\log D(\rho)_h)^\bullet$. In particular, we get the following corollary by Lemma 4.3.

Corollary 4.4 *Let σ be an element of Δ and V in $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$. For $\rho \in \Delta$ with $\sigma \prec \rho$, the ∂ -complex $\bar{I}_\rho^*(\mathcal{P}(\rho)_h \Lambda_{Z_h}^\sigma(V))^\bullet$ on $X(\rho)_h$ is homotopically equivalent to the zero complex.*

We recall some general notation of derived categories (cf.[V]).

Let X be a locally compact topological space and let $A(\mathbf{C}_X)$ be the abelian category of the $\mathbf{C}$ -sheaves on X . We denote by $C^+(\mathbf{C}_X)$ and $D^+(\mathbf{C}_X)$ the category of the complexes bounded below in $A(\mathbf{C}_X)$ and the derived category of it, respectively. For a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, let $f^* : A(\mathbf{C}_Y) \rightarrow A(\mathbf{C}_X)$ be the pull-back functor which is exact. We denote also by f^* the induced functors $C^+(\mathbf{C}_Y) \rightarrow C^+(\mathbf{C}_X)$ and $D^+(\mathbf{C}_Y) \rightarrow D^+(\mathbf{C}_X)$.

If the direct image functor with proper support $f_! : A(\mathbf{C}_X) \rightarrow A(\mathbf{C}_Y)$ is of finite cohomological dimension, the functor $f^! : D^+(\mathbf{C}_Y) \rightarrow D^+(\mathbf{C}_X)$ is defined [V, 2.2]. Note that this condition is satisfied for any regular morphisms of finite dimensional analytic spaces.

For $V^\bullet \in \text{CGM}(A(\sigma))$, the bicomplex $\Lambda_{Z_h}^\sigma(V)^{\bullet,\bullet}$ is defined as the analytic version of $\Lambda_Z^\sigma(V)^{\bullet,\bullet}$. The associated single complex is denoted by $\Lambda_{Z_h}^\sigma(V)^\bullet$.

For $L^\bullet \in \text{CGEM}(\Delta)$, we get the bicomplex $\Lambda_{Z_h}(L)^{\bullet,\bullet}$ and its associated single complex $\Lambda_{Z_h}(L)^\bullet$, similarly. We denote by δ the coboundary map of $\Lambda_{Z_h}(L)^\bullet$.

Proposition 4.5 *For $\rho \in \Delta$ and a d -complex $L^\bullet$ in $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$, there exist a quasi-isomorphism*

$$I_\rho^* \Lambda_{Z_h}(L)^\bullet \simeq I_\rho^* \Lambda_{Z_h}^\rho(i_\rho^* L)^\bullet \quad (4.15)$$

as δ -complexes of $\mathbf{C}$ -sheaves on $T[\rho]_h$ and an isomorphism

$$I_\rho^! \Lambda_{Z_h}(L)^\bullet \simeq I_\rho^* \Lambda_{Z_h}^\rho(i_\rho^! L)^\bullet \quad (4.16)$$

in the derived category $D^+(\mathbf{C}_{T[\rho]_h})$.

Proof. Let σ be an element of $F(\pi)$ with $\sigma \prec \rho$. For V in $\text{GM}(A(\sigma))$, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\rho)_h \Lambda_{Z_h}^\sigma(V)^\bullet \longrightarrow \Lambda_{Z_h}^\sigma(V)^\bullet \xrightarrow{\lambda(V)} \Lambda_{Z_h}^\rho(V_{A(\rho)})^\bullet \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4.17)$$

In particular, the homomorphism $I_\rho^* \lambda(V)$ of ∂ -complexes is a quasi-isomorphism by Corollary 4.4.

For each L^i , we get a quasi-isomorphism

$$I_\rho^* \lambda(L^i) : I_\rho^* \Lambda_{Z_h}(L^i)^\bullet \longrightarrow I_\rho^* \Lambda_{Z_h}^\rho(i_\rho^* L^i)^\bullet \quad (4.18)$$

as a collection of quasi-isomorphisms $I_\rho^* \lambda(L^i(\sigma))$ for $\sigma \in F(\rho)$.

We get the first quasi-isomorphism as the collection of $I_\rho^* \lambda(L^i)$'s for $i \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Since $i_\rho^! L^\bullet = L(\rho)^\bullet$, it is enough to show that $\bar{I}_\rho^! \Lambda_{Z_h}^\sigma(L^i(\sigma))$'s are quasi-isomorphic to the zero complex for all $\sigma \in F(\rho) \setminus \{\rho\}$ and $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. By proposition 3.1, $\Lambda_{Z_h}^\sigma(L^i(\sigma))$ is isomorphic to the finite direct sum of dimension shifts of the logarithmic de Rham complex $\Omega_{X(\sigma)_h}(\log D(\sigma)_h)^\bullet$ on $X(\sigma)_h$. By [O2, Prop.1.2], the logarithmic de Rham complex is quasi-isomorphic to the direct image $Rj_* \mathbf{C}_{T[\sigma]}$ for the open immersion $j : T[\sigma]_h \rightarrow X(\sigma)_h$. Since $T[\sigma]_h \cap X(\rho)_h = \emptyset$, $\bar{I}_\rho^! Rj_* \mathbf{C}_{T[\sigma]_h}$ is equivalent to zero. Hence $\bar{I}_\rho^! \Lambda_{Z_h}^\sigma(L^i(\sigma))$ is also zero in the derived category. *q.e.d.*

For any $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space W , we denote by $\bar{W}$ the scalar extension $W \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}$. If W is graded, so is $\bar{W}$.

Let ρ be an element of Δ . For V in $\text{GM}(A(\rho))$, we denote by $\bar{V}_{T[\rho]_h}$ the constant sheaf on $T[\rho]_h$ with the stalk $\bar{V}$. We regard it as a ∂ -complex of $\mathbf{C}$ -sheaves by setting $\bar{V}_{T[\rho]_h}^i := (\bar{V}_i)_{T[\rho]_h}$ and $\partial = 0$.

For $N[\rho] := N/N(\rho)$, we set $\det[\rho]_{\mathbf{Q}} := \det N[\rho]_{\mathbf{Q}}$. We denote by $\text{Det}[\rho]$ the graded $\mathbf{Z}$ -module defined by $(\text{Det}[\rho])_{-r+r_\rho} := \det[\rho]$ and $(\text{Det}[\rho])_i := \{0\}$ for $i \neq -r + r_\rho$. Here note that $\text{rank } N[\rho] = r - r_\rho$.

We define a homomorphism $(\bar{V} \otimes \text{Det}[\rho])_{T[\rho]_h}^\bullet \rightarrow I_\rho^*(\Lambda_{Z_h}^\rho(V))^\bullet$ as follows.

We take a $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear subspace H of $N_{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that $N_{\mathbf{Q}} = N(\rho)_{\mathbf{Q}} \oplus H$. Then we have a natural isomorphisms $N[\rho]_{\mathbf{Q}} = N_{\mathbf{Q}}/N(\rho)_{\mathbf{Q}} \simeq H$ and $\det[\rho]_{\mathbf{Q}} = \det N[\rho]_{\mathbf{Q}} \simeq \det H \subset A_{-r+r_\rho}$. We denote by $\text{Det } H$ the graded $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space defined by $(\text{Det } H)_{-r+r_\rho} := \det H$ and $(\text{Det } H)_i := \{0\}$ for $i \neq -r + r_\rho$. By Lemma 1.3, $V_A = V \otimes_{A(\rho)} A$ is equal to the free $A^*[\rho]$ -module $(V \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \text{Det } H) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A^*[\rho]$.

The restriction of ∂ of $\Lambda_Z^\rho(V)^\bullet = \mathcal{O}_Z \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} V_A$ to the constant subsheaf $\mathbf{Q}e(0) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} V_A$ is zero. Hence the composite of the natural homomorphisms

$$\bar{V} \otimes \text{Det}[\rho] \longrightarrow \bar{V} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \text{Det} H \longrightarrow \bar{V}_A \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}e(0) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \bar{V}_A \quad (4.19)$$

defines a homomorphism $(\bar{V} \otimes \text{Det}[\rho])_{T[\rho]_{\mathbf{C}}} \rightarrow \Lambda_{Z_{\mathbf{C}}}^\rho(V)|_{T[\rho]_{\mathbf{C}}}$ of ∂ -complexes of $\mathbf{C}$ -sheaves on the algebraic torus $T[\rho]_{\mathbf{C}}$

We denote by ϕ_V^H the associated homomorphism

$$(\bar{V} \otimes \text{Det}[\rho])_{T[\rho]_{\mathbf{h}}}^\bullet \longrightarrow I_\rho^*(\Lambda_{Z_{\mathbf{h}}}^\rho(V))^\bullet \quad (4.20)$$

on the smooth analytic space $T[\rho]_{\mathbf{h}}$.

Proposition 4.6 *Let $\rho \in \Delta$ and let V be an object of $\text{GM}(A(\rho))$. Then the homomorphism ϕ_V^H is a quasi-isomorphism for any $H \subset N_{\mathbf{Q}}$ with $N_{\mathbf{Q}} = N(\rho)_{\mathbf{Q}} \oplus H$. For another $K \subset N_{\mathbf{Q}}$ with $N_{\mathbf{Q}} = N(\rho)_{\mathbf{Q}} \oplus K$, the homomorphisms ϕ_V^H and ϕ_V^K are locally homotopic.*

Proof. Since V is of finite dimension, we prove the proposition by induction on the dimension of V . If $V = \{0\}$, then the ∂ -complexes are trivial and the assertion is clear. Assume $\dim V \geq 1$. Let k be the maximal integer with $V_k \neq \{0\}$. We take a vector subspace $V'_k \subset V_k$ of codimension one. By setting $V'_i := V_i$ for $i \neq k$, we get a homogeneous subspace $V' \subset V$ of codimension one. Since $A(\rho)$ is graded negatively, V' is an object of $\text{GM}(A(\rho))$. We get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V' \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}(-k) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (4.21)$$

of graded $A(\rho)$ -modules, where $Q(a)$ is the graded $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space defined by $Q(a)_{-a} := \mathbf{Q}$ and $Q(a)_i := \{0\}$ for $i \neq -a$. Set $c := r - r_\rho$. Then we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & (\bar{V}' \otimes \text{Det}[\rho])_{T[\rho]_{\mathbf{h}}}^\bullet & \rightarrow & (\bar{V} \otimes \text{Det}[\rho])_{T[\rho]_{\mathbf{h}}}^\bullet & \rightarrow & (\mathbf{C}(-k) \otimes \text{Det}[\rho])_{T[\rho]_{\mathbf{h}}}^\bullet \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \phi_{V'}^H & & \downarrow \phi_V^H & & \downarrow \phi_{\mathbf{Q}(-k)}^H \\ 0 & \rightarrow & I_\rho^*(\Lambda_{Z_{\mathbf{h}}}^\rho(V'))^\bullet & \rightarrow & I_\rho^*(\Lambda_{Z_{\mathbf{h}}}^\rho(V))^\bullet & \rightarrow & I_\rho^*(\Lambda_{Z_{\mathbf{h}}}^\rho(\mathbf{Q}(-k)))^\bullet \rightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (4.22)$$

By the induction assumption, $\phi_{V'}^H$ is a quasi-isomorphism of ∂ -complexes of $\mathbf{C}$ -sheaves. On the other hand, $I_\rho^*(\Lambda_{Z_h}^\rho(\mathbf{Q}(-c)))^\bullet$ is equal to the analytic de Rham complex on the complex manifold $T[\rho]_h$. Since $\phi_{\mathbf{Q}(-k)}^H$ is the dimension shift of the natural homomorphism $\mathbf{C}_{T[\rho]_h} \longrightarrow \Omega_{T[\rho]_h}^\bullet$, this is a quasi-isomorphism, by the complex analytic version of the Poincaré Lemma. Hence ϕ_V^H is also a quasi-isomorphism.

Clearly, $\phi_{\mathbf{Q}(-k)}^H$ does not depend on the choice of H . Hence $\phi_{\mathbf{Q}(-k)}^H - \phi_{\mathbf{Q}(-k)}^K = 0$. By assumption, $\phi_{V'}^H - \phi_{V'}^K$ is locally homotopic to zero. Hence, we know that $\phi_V^H - \phi_V^K$ gives zero maps on the cohomology sheaves. Since $\bar{V}_{T[\rho]_h}$ is a locally free $\mathbf{C}$ -sheaf, $\phi_V^H - \phi_V^K$ is locally homotopic to zero. *q.e.d.*

Recall that Z_h has the docomposition $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta} T[\sigma]_h$ into T_h -orbits. For each integer $0 \leq i \leq r$, we define

$$Z_h^{2i} = Z_h^{2i+1} =: \bigcup_{\substack{\sigma \in \Delta \\ r_\sigma \geq r-i}} T[\sigma]_h. \quad (4.23)$$

Then we have a filtration

$$Z_h = Z_h^{2r} \supset Z_h^{2r-1} \supset \cdots \supset Z_h^2 \supset Z_h^1 \supset Z_h^0 \quad (4.24)$$

of Z_h satisfying the conditions of the topological stratification in [GM2, 1.1].

The intersection complex of a stratified space is defined for a sequence of integers $(\mathbf{p}(2), \mathbf{p}(3), \mathbf{p}(4), \dots)$ which is called a *perversity* [GM2, 2.0]. Since Z_h is a complex analytic space of dimension r , only $\mathbf{p}(i)$'s for even i less than or equal to $2r$ are relevant for the intersection complex.

Let $\mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{p}(2), \mathbf{p}(4), \mathbf{p}(6), \dots, \mathbf{p}(2r))$ be a sequence of integers with $\mathbf{p}(2) = 0$ and $\mathbf{p}(2i) \leq \mathbf{p}(2i+2) \leq \mathbf{p}(2i) + 2$ for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$ as a perversity for Z_h . We denote by the same symbol $\mathbf{p}$ the perversity on Δ defined by $\mathbf{p}(\sigma) := \mathbf{p}(2r_\sigma) - r_\sigma + 1$ for $\sigma \in \Delta \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Note that, for the middle perversity $\mathbf{m} := (0, 1, 2, \dots, r-1)$, we have $\mathbf{m}(\sigma) = 0$ for all $\sigma \in \Delta \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

We consider the δ -complex $\Lambda_{Z_C}(\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))^\bullet$ which is the associated single complex of the bicomplex $\Lambda_Z(\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))^{\bullet, \bullet}$.

By [GM2, 3.3, AX1], the intersection complex $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathbf{p}}(Z_{\mathrm{h}})^{\bullet}$ in the derived category $D^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbf{C}_{Z_{\mathrm{h}}})$ of bounded complexes of $\mathbf{C}$ -sheaves is characterized by the following properties.

For the convenience of our use, we set $F := \mathrm{IC}_{\mathbf{p}}(Z_{\mathrm{h}})[-r]^{\bullet}$. Note that n in [GM2, 3.3] is $2r$ in our case.

- (a) The restriction of F to T_{h} is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}_{T_{\mathrm{h}}}[r]$.
- (b) $\mathcal{H}^i(F)$ is a trivial sheaf for $i < -r$.
- (c) For any $\sigma \in \Delta \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, $\mathrm{H}^i(F_x) = \{0\}$ for $i \geq \mathbf{p}(2r_{\sigma}) - r + 1$.
- (d) For any $\sigma \in \Delta \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, $\mathrm{H}^i((I_{\sigma}^! F)_x) = \{0\}$ for $i \leq \mathbf{p}(2r_{\sigma}) - r + 1$.

Theorem 4.7 *Let $\mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{p}(2), \mathbf{p}(4), \mathbf{p}(6), \dots, \mathbf{p}(2r))$ be a perversity. The complex of $\mathbf{C}$ -sheaves $\Lambda_{Z_{\mathrm{h}}}(\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))^{\bullet}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathbf{p}}(Z_{\mathrm{h}})[-r]^{\bullet}$.*

Proof. We set $L^{\bullet} := \Lambda_{Z_{\mathrm{h}}}(\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))^{\bullet}$. It is sufficient to show that $L^{\bullet}$ satisfies the above properties. Let σ be in $\Delta \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Then the stratum $T[\sigma]_{\mathrm{h}}$ is of dimension $2r - 2r_{\sigma}$ in the real dimension. By Propositions 4.5 and 4.6, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^i(L_x^{\bullet}) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{i+r-r_{\sigma}}(\mathrm{i}_{\sigma}^* \mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)^{\bullet}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} \quad (4.25)$$

for every point $x \in T[\sigma]_{\mathrm{h}}$. By the condition (3) of Theorem 2.9, the cohomology $\mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{i}_{\sigma}^* \mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)^{\bullet})$ vanishes for $i \geq \mathbf{p}(\sigma) = \mathbf{p}(2r_{\sigma}) - r_{\sigma} + 1$. Hence $\mathrm{H}^i(L_x^{\bullet}) = 0$ for $i \geq \mathbf{p}(2r_{\sigma}) - r + 1$. By Propositions 4.5 and 4.6, we also have

$$\mathrm{H}^i((I_{\sigma}^! L)_x^{\bullet}) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{i+r-r_{\sigma}}(\mathrm{i}_{\sigma}^! \mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)^{\bullet}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} \quad (4.26)$$

for all $x \in T[\sigma]_{\mathrm{h}}$. The condition (2) of Theorem 2.9 implies $\mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{i}_{\sigma}^! \mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)^{\bullet}) = 0$ for $i \leq \mathbf{p}(\sigma) = \mathbf{p}(2r_{\sigma}) - (r_{\sigma}) + 1$. Hence $\mathrm{H}^i((I_{\sigma}^! L)_x^{\bullet}) = 0$ for $i \leq \mathbf{p}(2r_{\sigma}) - r + 1$. Hence, by the Axioms [AX1] of [GM2, 3.3], $L^{\bullet}$ is the intersection complex with the perversity $\mathbf{p}$. *q.e.d.*

Proposition 4.8 *Assume that Δ is a complete fan. Let $L^\bullet$ be an object of $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$. Then there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$H^k(\Lambda_{Z_h}(L)^\bullet) \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^p(\Gamma(L)^\bullet)_q \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} \quad (4.27)$$

for every integer k .

Proof. Since any $X(\sigma)$ is a complete toric variety, $H^i(X(\sigma), \mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)}) = 0$ for every $i > 0$ [O1, Cor.2.8]. By [GAGA], we have

$$H^i(X(\sigma)_h, \mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)_h}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{C} & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \{0\} & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.28)$$

Since $\Lambda_{Z_h}^\sigma(L^i(\sigma))$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)_h}$ -module for any σ and i , we have an isomorphism

$$H^k(\Lambda_{Z_h}(L)^\bullet) = H^k(\Gamma(Z_h, \Lambda_{Z_h}(L))^\bullet), \quad (4.29)$$

where $\Gamma(Z_h, \Lambda_{Z_h}(L))^\bullet$ is the single complex of $\mathbf{C}$ -vector spaces associated to the bicomplex $\Gamma(Z_h, \Lambda_{Z_h}(L))^{\bullet,\bullet}$. Since the global sections are locally M -homogeneous of degree $0 \in M$, $d_2 = \partial$ of the last bicomplex is zero. Hence the spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} := H^p(\Gamma(Z_h, \Lambda_{Z_h}(L))_q^\bullet) \implies H^{p+q}(\Gamma(Z_h, \Lambda_{Z_h}(L))^\bullet) \quad (4.30)$$

degenerates at E_1 -terms. Consequently, the cohomology group $H^k(\Gamma(Z_h, \Lambda_{Z_h}(L))^\bullet)$ is equal to the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{q \in \mathbf{Z}} H^{k-q}(\Gamma(Z_h, \Lambda_{Z_h}(L))_q^\bullet) = \bigoplus_{q \in \mathbf{Z}} H^{k-q}(\Gamma(L)^\bullet)_q \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}. \quad (4.31)$$

q.e.d.

5 The Serre duality

In this section, we again fix a finite fan Δ of $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ and let $Z := Z(\Delta)$ be the associated toric variety defined over $\mathbf{Q}$.

Let $\mathbf{p}$ be a perversity on Δ . Then $\Lambda_Z(\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))^{\bullet,\bullet}$ is a bicomplex of coherent $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -modules such that $d_1 = d$ is a $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -homomorphism and $d_2 = \partial$ is a differential operator of order one. Note that $\Lambda_Z(\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))^{i,j} = \{0\}$ unless $0 \leq i \leq r$ and $-r \leq j \leq 0$ by Proposition 2.11.

For each integer j , we set

$$\Omega_j(\mathbf{p}; Z) := \Lambda_Z(\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))_{-j}^{\bullet} . \quad (5.1)$$

Clearly, $\Omega_j(\mathbf{p}; Z)$ is the zero complex unless $0 \leq j \leq r$. Since d is an $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -homomorphism, $\Omega_j(\mathbf{p}; Z)$ is a finite complex in the category of coherent $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -modules.

For the top perversity $\mathbf{t}$, we have

$$\Omega_j(\mathbf{t}; Z)^i = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Delta(i)} \det(\sigma) \otimes \bigwedge^{-j} N[\sigma] \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)} , \quad (5.2)$$

where $N[\sigma] := N/N(\sigma)$. For $\sigma \in \Delta(i)$ and $\tau \in \Delta(i+1)$, the (σ, τ) -component of the coboundary map is the tensor product of $q'_{\sigma/\tau} : \det(\sigma) \rightarrow \det(\tau)$ and the natural surjection

$$\bigwedge^{-j} N[\sigma] \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{-j} N[\tau] \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X(\tau)} \quad (5.3)$$

if $\sigma \prec \tau$ and is the zero map otherwise.

For finite complexes $B^{\bullet}, C^{\bullet}$ of coherent sheaves on Z , we denote by $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(C, B)^{\bullet,\bullet}$ the bicomplex with the component

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(C, B)^{i,j} := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(C_j, B_i) \quad (5.4)$$

for each pair (i, j) of integers. We denote by $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(C, B)^{\bullet}$ the associated single complex. The coboundary map

$$d^k : \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(C, B)^k \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(C, B)^{k+1} \quad (5.5)$$

is determined as follows. For an integer i , the restriction of d^k to the component $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(C^i, B^{i+k})$ is the sum of

$$(d_B^{i+k})_* : \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(C^i, B^{i+k}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(C^i, B^{i+k+1}) \quad (5.6)$$

and

$$(-1)^{k+1}(d_C^{i-1})^*\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(C^i, B^{i+k}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(C^{i-1}, B^{i+k}) \quad (5.7)$$

(cf.[D2, 1.1.10,(ii)])

Theorem 5.1 *Let $L^\bullet$ be an object of $\text{CGEM}(\Delta)$. For each integer q , there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\Lambda_Z(L)_q, \Omega_0(\mathbf{t}; Z) \otimes \det N)^\bullet \simeq \Lambda_Z(\mathbf{D}(L))_{-r-q}^\bullet. \quad (5.8)$$

Proof. For each pair (i, j) of integers, we have

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\Lambda_Z(L)_q, \Omega_0(\mathbf{t}; Z) \otimes \det N)^{i,j} \quad (5.9)$$

$$= \bigoplus_{(\sigma, \rho)} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}((L(\sigma)_A^{-j})_q, \det(\rho) \otimes \det N) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathcal{O}_{X(\rho)}, \quad (5.10)$$

where the sum is taken over all pairs (σ, ρ) with $\sigma \in \Delta$, $\rho \in \Delta(i)$ and $\sigma \prec \rho$. We identify $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}((L(\sigma)_A^{-j})_q, \det N_{\mathbf{Q}})$ with $D_N(L(\sigma)_A^{-j})_{-r-q}$ through the set of right operations $D_N^{\text{right}}(L(\sigma)_A^{-j})_{-r-q}$. Hence

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\Lambda_Z(L)_q, \Omega_0(\mathbf{t}; Z) \otimes \det N)^k \quad (5.11)$$

$$= \bigoplus_{\rho \in \Delta} \det(\rho) \otimes D_N((i_\rho^* L^{-r_\rho+k})_A)_{-r-q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathcal{O}_{X(\rho)} \quad (5.12)$$

$$= \bigoplus_{\rho \in \Delta} \det(\rho) \otimes (D_\rho(i_\rho^* L^{-r_\rho+k})_A)_{-r-q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathcal{O}_{X(\rho)} \quad (5.13)$$

$$= \Lambda_Z(\mathbf{D}(L))_{-r-q}^k. \quad (5.14)$$

The equality of the coboundary maps is also checked. Namely, for $\rho, \mu \in \Delta$, the (ρ, μ) -component of d^k 's are nonzero only for (a) $\rho \prec \mu$ and $r_\mu = r_\rho + 1$, or (b) $\rho = \mu$. In case (a), they are both equal to the tensor product of $q'_{\rho/\mu}$, inclusion map

$$D_N((i_\rho^* L^{-r_\rho+k})_A)_{-r-q} \rightarrow D_N((i_\mu^* L^{-r_\mu+k})_A)_{-r-q} \quad (5.15)$$

and the natural surjection $\mathcal{O}_{X(\rho)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X(\mu)}$ in the description (5.12). In case (b), they are both equal to $(-1)^{k+1} \text{id} \otimes D_N(i_\rho^*(d_L)^{k-1}) \otimes \text{id}$. q.e.d.

Let S be a scheme of finite type over a field and let $D_{\text{coh}}^+(S)$ be the derived category of complexes bounded below of $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules with coherent cohomologies. The Grothendieck theory of residues and duality [RD] says that there exists an object of $D_{\text{coh}}^+(S)$ which is called the dualizing complex, and the Serre duality theorem for nonsingular projective varieties is generalized to S by using the the dualizing complex in place of the canonical invertible sheaf.

For the toric variety Z , the dualizing complex which is denoted by $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(Z, \Omega_0^\vee)$ in [I2, §5] is described as follows. For the compatibility with the notation of this paper, we write it by $\mathcal{C}(Z, \Omega_0^\vee)^\bullet$.

For each integer $-r \leq i \leq 0$, we set

$$\mathcal{C}(Z, \Omega_0^\vee)^i := \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Delta(r+i)} \mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)} \otimes \det M[\sigma] = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Delta(r+i)} \Omega_{X(\sigma)} (\log D(\sigma))^{-i}. \quad (5.16)$$

For $\sigma, \tau \in \Delta$, the subvariety $X(\tau)$ of Z is contained in $X(\sigma)$ if and only if $\sigma \prec \tau$. When $\sigma \prec \tau$, let $\varphi_{\sigma/\tau} : \mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X(\tau)}$ be the natural surjection. The component of the coboundary map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^i(Z, \Omega_0^\vee) & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{C}^{i+1}(Z, \Omega_0^\vee) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Delta(r+i)} \mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)} \otimes \det M[\sigma] & & \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Delta(r+i+1)} \mathcal{O}_{X(\tau)} \otimes \det M[\tau] \end{array} \quad (5.17)$$

for $\sigma \in \Delta(r+i)$ and $\tau \in \Delta(r+i+1)$ is defined to be $\varphi_{\sigma/\tau} \otimes q_{\sigma/\tau}$ if $\sigma \prec \tau$ and the zero map otherwise, where $\varphi_{\sigma/\tau}$ is the natural surjection $\mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X(\tau)}$. For the definition of $q_{\sigma/\tau}$, see [I2, §1]. With respect to the identifications $\det M[\sigma] \otimes \det N = \det(\sigma)$ and $\det M[\tau] \otimes \det N = \det(\tau)$, the isomorphism $q'_{\sigma/\tau}$ defined in §2 is equal to $q_{\sigma/\tau} \otimes 1_{\det N}$.

As a special case of [I2, Thm.5.4], the d -complex $\mathcal{C}(Z, \Omega_0^\vee)^\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic to the residual complex $f_Z^\Delta \mathbf{Q}$ [RD, VI, §3] for the structure morphism $f_Z : Z \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbf{Q}$, i.e., it is a global dualizing complex of the $\mathbf{Q}$ -scheme Z .

For a finite complex $B^\bullet$ of $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -modules with coherent cohomology sheaves, the object $R\mathcal{H}om(B, f_Z^\Delta \mathbf{Q})^\bullet$ in the derived category $D_{\text{coh}}^+(Z)$ is called the Grothendieck dual of $B^\bullet$.

It is easy to see that $\Lambda_Z(\text{ic}_t(\Delta))_0^\bullet$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{C}(Z, \Omega_0^\vee) \otimes (\det N))[-r]^\bullet$.

Corollary 5.2 *For each integer $0 \leq j \leq r$, the Grothendieck dual of the d -complex $\Lambda_Z(L)_{-j}^\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\Lambda_Z(\mathbf{D}(L))_{j-r}[r]^\bullet$.*

Proof. Since $\Lambda_Z(L)_{-j}^\bullet$ is a direct sum of free $\mathcal{O}_{X(\sigma)}$ -modules for $\sigma \in \Delta$, the dual $R\mathcal{H}om(\Lambda_Z(L)_{-j}, \mathcal{C}(Z, \Omega_0^\vee))^\bullet$ in the derived category $D_{\text{coh}}^+(Z)$ is represented by the complex $\mathcal{H}om(\Lambda_Z(L)_{-j}, \mathcal{C}(Z, \Omega_0^\vee))^\bullet$ by [I2, Lem.3.6]. Hence we get the corollary by Theorem 5.1.

The following corollary follows from Corollaries 2.12 and 5.2.

Corollary 5.3 *For each integer $0 \leq j \leq r$, the Grothendieck dual of the d -complex $\Omega_j(\mathbf{p}; Z)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\Omega_{r-j}(-\mathbf{p}; Z)[r]$.*

We assume that Δ is a complete fan. Then Z is a complete variety.

Let $F^\bullet$ be a finite complex of coherent $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -modules. By the Grothendieck duality theorem [RD, VI, Thm.3.3] applied for the proper morphism $f_Z : Z \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbf{Q}$, we have a natural isomorphism

$$H^i(Z, R\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathcal{C}(Z, \Omega_0^\vee))^\bullet) \simeq \text{Hom}(H^{-i}(Z, F^\bullet), \mathbf{Q}) . \quad (5.18)$$

Theorem 5.4 *Assume that Δ is a complete fan. Then the equality*

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} H^i(Z, \Omega_j(\mathbf{p}; Z)) = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} H^{r-i}(Z, \Omega_{r-j}(-\mathbf{p}; Z)) \quad (5.19)$$

holds for any integers i, j .

Proof. By Corollary 5.2, this is a consequence of the Grothendieck-Serre duality theorem.

We can also prove the equality directly as follows. We have equalities

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} H^i(Z, \Omega_j(\mathbf{p}; Z)^{\bullet}) = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} H^i(\Gamma(\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)^{\bullet})_{-j}) \quad (5.20)$$

and

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} H^{r-i}(Z, \Omega_{r-j}(\mathbf{p}; Z)^{\bullet}) = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} H^{r-i}(\Gamma(\mathrm{ic}_{-\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)^{\bullet})_{-r+j}) \quad (5.21)$$

by Lemma 3.6. Since $\mathrm{ic}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Delta)^{\bullet}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{ic}_{-\mathbf{p}}(\Delta))^{\bullet}$ by Corollary 2.12, we get the equality by Proposition 2.5. *q.e.d.*

References

- [BBD] A.A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne, Faisceaux pervers, Analyse et Topologie sur les Espaces Singuliers (I), Astérisque **100**, Soc. Math. France, 1982.
- [D1] V.I. Danilov, The geometry of toric varieties, Russian Math. Surveys **33**, (1978), 97-154.
- [D2] P. Deligne, Cohomologie a supports propres, Expose XVII, SGA4, Tome 3, Lecture Notes in Math. **305**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1973.
- [DL] J. Denef and F. Loeser, Weights of exponential sums, intersection cohomology, and Newton polyhedra, preprint.
- [EGA4] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique IV, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **20**, **24**, **28**, **32**, (1964-1967).
- [GAGA] J.-P. Serre, Géométrie Algébrique et Géométrie Analytique, Ann. Inst. Fourier **6**, (1956), 1-42.

- [GM1] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson, Intersection homology theory, *Topology* **19**, (1980), 135-162.
- [GM2] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson, Intersection homology II, *Invent. math.* **72**, (1983), 77-129.
- [G] W.H. Grueb, Multilinear Algebra, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen, **136**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1967.
- [H] R. Hartshorne, On the de Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **45**, 1975.
- [I1] M.-N. Ishida, Torus embeddings and dualizing complexes, *Tohoku Math. J.* **32**, (1980), 111-146.
- [I2] M.-N. Ishida, Torus embeddings and de Rham complexes, in *Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics* (M. Nagata and H. Matsumura, ed.), *Adv. Studies in Pure Math.* **11**, Kinokuniya, Tokyo and North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1987, 111-145.
- [I3] M.-N. Ishida, Torus embeddings and algebraic intersection complexes, II, preprint.
- [MV] R. MacPherson and K. Vilonen, Elementary construction of perverse sheaves, *Invent. math.* **84**, (1986), 403-435.
- [O1] T. Oda, *Convex Bodies and Algebraic Geometry, An Introduction to the Theory of Toric Varieties*, *Ergebnisse der Math. (3)*, **15**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1988.
- [O2] T. Oda, The algebraic de Rham theorem for toric varieties, *Tohoku Math. J.* **45**, (1993), 231-247.

- [O3] T. Oda, Simple convex polytopes and the strong Lefschetz theorem, J. of Pure and Appl. Alg. **71**, (1991), 265-286.
- [RD] R. Hartshorne, Residues and Duality, Lecture Notes in Math. **20**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1966.
- [SC] A. Ash, D. Mumford, M. Rapoport and Y. Tai, Smooth compactification of locally symmetric varieties, Lie Groups: History, Frontiers and Applications IV, Math. Sci. Press, Brookline, Mass., 1975.
- [S] R. Stanley, Generalized h -vectors, intesection cohomology of toric varieties, and related results, in Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics (M. Nagata and H. Matsumura, ed.), Adv. Studies in Pure Math. **11**, Kinokuniya, Tokyo and North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1987, 187-213.
- [V] J.-L. Verdier, Dualité dan la cohomologie des espaces localement compacts, Séminar Bourbaki, **300**, (1965).